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rabia@academicimpressions.com

November 9 & 10, 2021
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Welcome to Zoom and its features:
A. Mics (keep muted please)
B. Camera (option to keep on or off)
C. Gallery vs. Speaker View
D. Participant List with Emoji’s
E. Chat Box
   • Use whenever you need it to ask questions or respond
   • Look here for downloadable files during the presentation
   • Option to send private messages to each other or host
   • Chats will be transcribed (not private ones)
F. Close windows (e.g., polls)
G. Recording (except during breaks or in small groups)
H. Changing your name option (hover over your name, select “more” then “rename” to change your name)
The Value of this Course

After participating, you will have the tools to effectively mediate sexual harassment cases within your Title IX informal resolution process.
Disclaimer

The information provided in this training does not, and is not intended to, constitute legal advice; instead, all information, content, and materials available during this training are for training and general informational purposes only.
AGENDA

November 10, 2021

7. The Mediation – Party Proposals & Ethical Considerations
8. Preparing the Agreement
9. Failure to Reach Agreement
10. Preparing for Mock Mediation
11. Module 1: Setting the Scene & Messaging
12. Module 2: Dealing with Party Advisors and Saving the Mediation
13. Mock Mediation Debrief & Final Q&A

Meet Your Expert Faculty

Cara Hardin, J.D.
Title IX Deputy Coordinator
Marquette University
cara.hardin@marquette.edu

Hon. Sanford M. Brook (Ret.)
Mediator and Arbiter
Judicial Arbiter Group
sbrook@jaginc.com
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Title IX & The Informal Resolution Process

What do you think are the personality and communication strengths of a good informal resolution facilitator?
## Mediator Personality & Communication Strengths

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Personality Strengths</th>
<th>Communication Strengths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tolerant</td>
<td>Characterize but don't criticize</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thoughtful</td>
<td>Clarity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practical</td>
<td>Emotional control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaborative</td>
<td>Simplicity trumps complexity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respectful</td>
<td>Facts are foundation to persuasion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empathetic</td>
<td>Eye contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intuitive</td>
<td>Relaxed tone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Aware</td>
<td>Avoid negative body language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creative</td>
<td>Avoid negative verbal reactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Judgmental</td>
<td>Avoid negative facial expressions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open-Minded</td>
<td>Credible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Title IX

*“No person in the United States shall, on the basis of sex, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any education program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance.”*

Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972
Title IX Coverage

Sex-Based Discrimination
Inequitable or differing treatment based on sex, sexual orientation, or gender identity in all areas of institutional access.

Retaliation

Sexual Harassment
• Quid Pro Quo
• Hostile Environment
• Sexual Assault
• Dating/Domestic Violence
• Stalking

2020 Regulations: Notable Changes

Notable changes within the 2020 Final Regulations:
• Provides a uniform definition of “sexual harassment.”
• Specification of how educational institutions must respond to sexual harassment.
• Clarifies jurisdictional reach to U.S.-based institution education programs or activities.
• Requires a live-hearing with cross-examination to adjudicate a formal complaint alleging sexual harassment.
• Allows for informal resolution of formal complaints by mediation.
RESOURCES

2020 Title IX Amendments
34 C.F.R. 106

- Preamble and Regulations
  https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/docs/titleix-regs-unofficial.pdf
  - Preamble section on informal resolution at p. 1363
  - Regulations at p. 2008
  - Regulations section on informal resolution at p. 2028

Informal Resolution
Under The 2020 Amendments

Informal resolution is permissible in lieu of the investigatory and/or hearing process. 34 CFR 106.45(b)(9).

- After a formal complaint is filed.
- When the Title IX Coordinator deems informal resolution appropriate.
- When the parties give voluntary, informed, written consent to attempt informal resolution.
Informal Resolution
Under The 2020 Amendments (con’t)

- **Limitations:**
  - Schools cannot offer or facilitate informal resolution to resolve allegations that an employee sexually harassed a student.
  - Schools cannot require or pressure the parties into an informal resolution process.
  - The informal resolution process must have reasonably prompt timeframes.

Written Notice

Institution **MUST** provide *written notice* to the parties disclosing the:

- Allegations. §106.45(b)(9)(i)
- Discussion about the availability of informal resolution. §106.45(b)(A)
Written Notice: Requirements

- Requirements of the informal resolution process. §106.45(b)(2)(i)
  - Voluntary participation; without coercion. §106.45(b)(2)(ii)
  - The circumstances that preclude the parties from resuming a formal complaint. §106.45(b)(9)(i)
    - Can withdrawal any time before resolution agreement reached.
  - Facilitation by neutral, objective and trained facilitator. §106.45(b)(1)(iii)
Written Notice: Participation Consequences

- Consequences from participation in informal process.
  - The records that will be maintained or that could be or could not be shared. §106.45(b)(10).
    - Records relating to informal resolutions and the result thereof must be maintained for at least seven (7) years. §106.45(b)(10)
  - If a resolution is reached, the Formal Complaint concludes, and Parties abide by terms of resolution.
  - If a resolution is not reached, the Formal Complaint returns to the formal Grievance Process.

Written Notice: Range of Conflict Resolution Strategies

- The types of informal resolution processes available under the policy. §106.45(b)(2)(i).
  - Informal resolution may encompass a broad range of conflict resolution strategies, including but not limited to:
    - Remedies-based resolution
    - Respondent accepts responsibility
    - Mediation
    - Restorative justice
    - Arbitration
Written Notice: Other recommendations

- Recommended additional written disclosures:
  - Whether there is confidentiality within the informal resolution process.
  - Whether facilitator will be called as a witness in the formal grievance process if informal resolution fails.
  - Facilitator’s right to end the mediation for good reason.
  - Title IX Coordinator may reject any agreed-upon resolution if it is unreasonable or inequitable.
  - Right to appeal?

Appeal

Informal resolution agreements may or may not be subject to appeal.

- On the one hand, §106.45(b)(8) states that recipients must offer both parties an appeal from determinations regarding responsibility, or from a recipient’s dismissal of a formal complaint or any allegations contained in a formal complaint.

- But, Preamble states, "Importantly, the final regulations require recipients to offer both parties an appeals process to help mitigate risks such as procedural irregularity and investigator, decision-maker, or informal resolution facilitator bias." Preamble, p.1374 (Federal Register version)
What are the reasons a party would want to challenge a resolution agreement after the fact?

- Institution’s policy against sexual harassment and sex discrimination
- Notice of Allegations
- Voluntary Agreement to Resolve Formal Complaint by Informal Resolution
**Sample**

Voluntary Agreement to Resolve Formal Complaint by Informal Resolution

---

QUESTIONS?
Informal Resolution by Mediation

- A process where the parties negotiate an outcome with the help of an unbiased, neutral third party → Mediator/Facilitator.

- Compromise is integral to the process.

- No admission of responsibility required.
The Facilitator's Role

- Ensures an equitable and fair process.
- Serves as a “neutral”
- Allows each party to feel empowered and heard.
- Encourages and guides meaningful dialogue between the parties towards an amicable resolution.
- Helps uncover the parties’ underlying needs and interests.
- Has no authority to render a resolution - only the parties do.

Free of Bias/Conflict of Interest

Facilitators of informal resolution **MUST:**

- Facilitate impartially (independent, neutral and objective)
  - No conflicts of interest. §106.45(b)(1)(iii).
  - No bias for or against complainants or respondents generally or an individual Complainant or Respondent. §106.45(b)(1)(iii).
    - Avoid prejudgment of the facts at issue. §106.45(b)(1)(iii)
Impartiality

- Mediator must serve impartially by avoiding:
  - Prejudgment of the facts at issue
  - Conflicts of interest
  - Bias

§106.45(b)(1)(iii).

"I'm afraid I can't treat you, Mr. Fisk. I have a conflict of interest."

What are some examples of potential facilitator conflicts of interest?
Bias free

➢ A mediator must not have:

• A bias for or against complainants or respondents generally or an individual Complainant or Respondent. §106.45(b)(1)(iii).

Bias, generally:

• Stereotypes => Experiences
• Prejudices => Automatic thoughts about how we feel
• Discrimination => Actions

Where are your implicit biases?
Mediators MUST undergo "robust" training on:

- The §106.30 definitions of sexual harassment. §106.45(b)(1)(iii)
  - The 2020 Amendments define sexual harassment broadly to include any of three types of misconduct based on sex.
  - All three types of misconduct jeopardize the equal access to education that Title IX is designed to protect.

Title IX Sexual Harassment (§106.30)

Sexual Harassment is conduct based on sex that satisfies one or more of the following:

- **Quid Pro Quo** harassment. An employee of the recipient conditioning the provision of an aid, benefit, or service of the recipient on an individual’s participation in unwelcome sexual conduct.

- **Hostile environment harassment.** “Unwelcome conduct determined by a reasonable person to be so severe, pervasive, and objectively offensive that it effectively denies a person equal access to the school's education program or activity.”

- “Sexual assault, “dating violence,” “domestic violence,” or “stalking” as those terms are defined under the Clery Act and VAWA.
RESOURCES

OCR Sexual Harassment Definition

• OCR Blog Entry 20201007:
  https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/blog/20201007.html

• Q&A on the Title IX Regulations (July 2021)
  https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/docs/202107-qa-titleix.pdf

Facilitator Training Qualifications

- The scope of the institution's program or activity. §106.45(b)(1)(iii)

Locations, events, or circumstances over which the recipient exercised substantial control over both the respondent and the context in which the sexual harassment occurs.

Also includes any building owned or controlled by a student organization that is officially recognized by a postsecondary institution.

- How to conduct the chosen method of informal resolution. §106.45(b)(1)(iii)
Training Qualifications (continued)

- The §106.45 formal grievance process:
  - Investigatory Process
  - Hearing Process
  - Appeal Process

- Rape shield protections

- The institution's policy against sexual harassment, including the definitions of:
  - Consent
  - Incapacitation
  - Retaliation

Why is it important for the facilitator to know the sexual harassment definitions and the grievance process?
Title IX Sexual Harassment Cases and Informal Resolution

Case amenability to informal resolution is dictated by the institution's policy.
Cases generally amenable to Informal Resolution:

- Hostile environment sexual harassment cases between employees or between students where little to no power differential.

- Lower-level sexual assault cases.*

- Sexual assault cases resulting from miscommunication.*

- Dating/domestic violence cases where mutual harm is alleged.*

- Stalking potentially connected to a mental health or personality disorder.

* Sexual/dating/domestic violence cases are the most challenging to informally resolve due to the nature and intimacy of the harm and the power imbalances often associated with these offenses. Accordingly, proceed with caution.
Sexual Harassment Cases *Generally Not* Conducive to Informal Resolution

Cases *generally not* amenable to Informal Resolution:

- Alleged offenses involving:
  - Physical or threatened violence,
  - Predation,
  - Intimidation,
  - A dangerous pattern of conduct, or
  - Where the power differential between the parties, under the circumstances, could exacerbate the harm.
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Mediation in the Context of Title IX and Pre-Mediation Prep

The “Collaborative Process”

Mediation as a “collaborative process”
What do you see as the value of informal resolution for Title IX sexual harassment cases?

Mediation – The participants

Participants within the Informal Resolution Process:

- The Complainant(s)
- The Respondent(s)
- The Facilitator
- Advisors (maybe)
As a reminder:

- Voluntariness
- Informed consent to participate
- Impartiality of facilitator
- Party control over outcome

Mediation – The Types

- **Facilitative** - conducted by third-party neutral with no authority to propose a solution or opine on issues.
- **Evaluative** - conducted by third-party neutral who may give opinions and propose solutions.
- **Title IX Hybrid** – facilitative with measured evaluation and proposal of solutions.
Pre-Mediation Communication

Contact with the Parties

- Email or call to set up pre-mediation conference
- Pre-mediation conference
  - 2-3 days before mediation
  - Mediator = Complainant
  - Mediator = Respondent
- Memorialize all contact

Pre-Mediation Conference

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Do</th>
<th>Do</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Introduce yourself</td>
<td>Explain process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Get to know the party</td>
<td>Explore the goal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explore comfort/discomfort</td>
<td>Explain option and role of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>advisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explain role – neutral</td>
<td>Explain confidentiality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>facilitator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explain compromise is</td>
<td>Explain that party has a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>often a part of the</td>
<td>voice in the outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>process</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discuss freedom to</td>
<td>Invitation to share</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>withdrawal from the</td>
<td>substance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>process</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Exploring feelings and thoughts

- Exploring feelings and thoughts...
  - Try to understand the parties’ motivation to participate in the informal resolution process.
  - "How would you feel if we are unable to reach an agreement?"
  - "What is the best result for you?"
  - "If you couldn't achieve the best result, what will you need to feel comfortable about resolving this complaint?"

Inviting Substance?

- Discretionary to invite substance regarding the allegations...
  - “Here are the materials I've reviewed before speaking with you...”
  - “Is there any additional information you wish to share with me that you believe would be helpful to resolving the formal complaint?”
### Pre-Mediation Communication with Parties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Don’t</strong></th>
<th><strong>Don’t</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-judge issues</td>
<td>Sound rigid, managerial, controlling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predict outcome</td>
<td>Evaluate claims of either party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discuss conversations with other party</td>
<td>Discuss what other observers/witnesses say</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overload</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ACTIVITY

**Pre-mediation session**

1. Introduction
2. Get to know party
3. Discuss process
4. Discuss goal
5. Concerns/fears
Pre-Mediation - Preparation

- Read materials (NOIA, voluntary agreement to participate, policy)
- If possible, outline strong facts and weak facts for Complainant
- Determine how to structure mediation
- If possible, determine expectation of parties
- If facilitator is not the Title IX Coordinator, Title IX Coordinator may have helpful information about resolution options
Pre-Mediation - Evaluation

- What are the undisputed facts?
- The human element
  - Who, if either, do the facts favor?

Pre-Mediation – Framing the Issues

- What are the issues?
- Determine if there are issues outside of the Complaint and Respondent.
- Do the parties see the issues differently?
Pre-Mediation – Focusing on Objectives

- Where might compromise be achieved?
- What are the respective party objectives?
- What might the ultimate agreement look like?

Creating the environment
Avoid Joint Sessions with Parties?

- Mediation historical perspective on joint caucus
- Dynamic of parties meeting in joint caucus
- Will it ever be appropriate to bring the parties together in a joint caucus?

Creating the Physical Environment

- The venue
  - Ensures privacy/confidentiality
  - Is not intimidating
  - Comfortably accommodates multiple participants
  - Not a busy place

- The rooms
  - Sufficiently separated from one another
  - Large enough to be comfortable
  - Extra room necessary

- Administrative
  - Computer, copier, etc.
Creating the Virtual Environment

- Structure the start
  - Parties to join at different times
  - Discuss the success of virtual mediation

- Explore:
  - Is anybody with or intending to be with party
  - Need to get comfortable with technology

- The pragmatics
  - Get cell numbers from all participants
  - No recording by anybody
  - Insist on live video
  - Clarity of visual image

Pre-Mediation - Strategy

- Who do I talk to first?

- What will I address in the first session with each of the parties?
  - Undisputed facts
  - Non-threatening issues
  - Confirming objective(s) of each party
  - The parties’ ideal outcome

- When and how will I address the more sensitive facts?
Pre-Mediation Preparation Essential

- Preparation pre-mediation is essential
  - Anxiety
  - Relief
  - "I want to go."

- Alternative results

Mediation preparation

What are your reactions and additional recommendations?
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**Role of the Mediator as the Communicator & Negotiator**

---

**Personality & Communication Strengths**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Personality Strengths</strong></th>
<th><strong>Communication Strengths</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tolerant</td>
<td>Characterize but don’t criticize</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thoughtful</td>
<td>Clarity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practical</td>
<td>Emotional control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaborative</td>
<td>Simplicity trumps complexity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respectful</td>
<td>Facts are foundation to persuasion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empathetic</td>
<td>Eye contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intuitive</td>
<td>Relaxed tone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Aware</td>
<td>Avoid negative body language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creative</td>
<td>Avoid negative verbal reactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Judgmental</td>
<td>Avoid negative facial expressions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open-Minded</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credible</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Facilitator: Communicator and Neutral

- Empathetic listening

- Paraphrase and summarize
  - "I want to make sure I understand, it is rare for you to drink in excess."
  - "You were embarrassed to tell your roommate."

- Validate
  - "Not wanting to tell someone else is not unusual. It happens all the time."

Communicator and Neutral (con’t)

- Avoid negativity
  - "He/She/They doesn't/don't understand the seriousness of what he/she has done."

- Participatory empowerment
  - "What would you like me to tell him/her/them about how you are feeling?"
  - "What do you want me to say to him/her/them about the fear you continue to have?"
Facilitator: Negotiator

- Subtle opinions

  - "I know you don’t want to agree to these restrictions but if we don't reach an agreement, you risk more severe restrictions imposed by others."

  - "These particular facts may not be helpful to you..."

  - "I believe he/she/they are/is both remorseful and embarrassed."

Based upon your experience, going into mediation...

What might be Complainant's perspective?
Based upon your experience, going into mediation...

*What might be Respondent's perspective?*

---

**#4**

The Mediation – Critical Steps and Considerations
The Mediation

- Pre-mediation conference done.
  - Got to know each party
  - Established credibility
  - Have a good idea of the facts.
  - May suspect or know the parties’ desired outcome.

- Ready to mediate.

Beginning the mediation

- Meet with one party.... Then the other
  - Re-establish connection
  - Discuss any outstanding questions from pre-mediation
  - Remind parties of the purpose of the mediation
  - Re-introduce the process and expectations for the mediation.
Shaping the Issues for Parties

- Share what you understand the issues to be.
- Probing whether we can achieve an "agreed" outcome.
- Session is not whether complainant can prove her/his/their allegations.
- Facts are important.

Searching for Shared Values

- Subtly probe
  - Do each hope an agreement can be reached?
  - If agreement reached, what if any relationship will exist with the other party?
  - Are there underlying interests?
  - What are goals for the future?
Establishing Credibility

- The mediator
- The process
- The agreement

Maintaining Confidence

- It is a process, not an event.
- Patience is key.
- Report where progress is being made.
- Sharing positive aspects of what you have heard and observed.
Party Advisors

- Advisors:
  - Victim advocates, parents, roommates, friends, school employees, or lawyers

- Role can be restrictive

- A role of support and collaboration

- Aider v. barrier
  - Using an advisor to benefit the process
  - Handling the challenge

Communications with Advisors outside of the parties?
BREAK
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The Mediation – The Fundamentals of Fact Exploration & Persuasion
Fact exploration

- Inviting a narrative to elicit perspective...
  - Encourages party to open-up, vent, and articulate.
  - Allows story to be told.
  - Rarely a need for interrogation on the who, what, when, where, why, how, describe, explain, tell...
  - Understand relationship between the parties.
  - Obtain enough facts to assess strengths and weaknesses of the case.

Fact exploration techniques

- General exploration questions:
  - “What [more] would you like me to know, if anything, about what happened.”
  - Make sure to use open-ended questions: “Please explain...” “Would you describe...”
  - What does each party believe to be the other party’s perspective on what happened.
  - Understand the parties’ motivation to participate in the informal resolution process.
Fact exploration techniques (cont')

- Probing questions.
  - Asking for additional facts if needed to evaluate case strengths/weaknesses.
  - Exploring feelings, opinions, thoughts...
    - "How would you feel if we are unable to reach an agreement?"
    - "What is the best result for you today?"
    - "If you couldn't achieve the best result, what will you need to feel comfortable about resolving this complaint?"
  - A guide to persuasive discussions with the other party.

Subtle Persuasion Techniques

- Moving the parties toward the goal.
  - "Do you think it will be more comfortable for you to move off campus?"

- Suggesting possible outcome.
  - "I'm not sure they will agree to move off-campus, but you may get them to move to another dorm and give up access to your dorm."
The Facts as Additional Subtle Persuasion

- Addressing factual strengths
- Addressing factual weaknesses
- Addressing contested facts

When do "the facts" become critically important?
QUESTIONS?
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Refresh & Reset

Please turn on your cameras and mics (if able) and let us know one lesson that resonated the most from yesterday's training or one topic you're more looking forward to learning today.
The Mediation – Party Proposals & Ethical Considerations

Party Proposals

- Explore the proposals
  - If unreasonable or will be rejected, discuss ways to modify the proposal.
  - Be subtly evaluative.
- Communicating a resolution proposal (i.e., terms)
  - Avoid criticism of the proposal unless asked or unless you believe your opinion will not hinder further discussions.
Unreasonable Party Demands

➢ Won't lead to agreement.
➢ Won't be able to achieve demand in the next stage.
➢ Won't be seen as a compromise gesture.
➢ May be met with an unreasonable response.

Techniques for Keeping the Parties Talking

➢ Bracketing issues
➢ Mediator’s proposal
➢ Time to consider
➢ Risks of no agreement
  • Future administrative process
  • Less control over outcome
  • Time considerations
  • Emotional considerations
  • Healing
Terms for Resolution

Resolution Agreements, generally.

- Terms/outcome of the resolution are negotiable and may be restricted by the applicable policy.
- May result in party-imposed corrective or punitive measures for a Respondent; remedies for Complainant.
- Informal resolution agreements may become binding according to their terms (i.e., contractual in nature).
- Outcome should be enforceable by the institution.

Types of Remedies

Types of remedies may include:

- Complainant or respondent relocate their housing.
- Each party is assigned to a different dining hall, workout facility, area of library.
- Complainant/Respondent withdraws from a class that the parties have together.
- Respondent writes an apology letter to Complainant acknowledging harm caused.
- Indefinite mutual no contact order
Types of Corrective or Punitive Measures

Types of corrective or punitive measures may include:

- Withdrawal from extra-curricular activities (athletics, student groups, Greek life, etc.)
- Avoidance of campus activities (athletics events, programs, concerts, etc.)
- Enter counseling or other educational programming relating to alleged misconduct.
- Temporary withdrawal from the institution
- Permanent withdrawal from the institution

Ethical Considerations

- Conflicts of interest
- Confidentiality
- Pressuring to reach agreement
- Subsequent disclosure of information
- Appearance of impropriety
- Disclosure of background
- Impartiality
- Mental competency of a party
- Autonomy informed consent
- Honesty
- Role boundaries
- Privileged communications
QUESTIONS?
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Preparing the Agreement
The Resolution Agreement

- Resolution Agreement must be in writing signed by:
  - Complainant
  - Respondent
  - Title IX Coordinator (?)

Preparing the Agreement

- Resolution Agreement includes:
  - Confirmation of voluntary participation.
  - The agreed-to terms of resolution.
  - Consequences for breach of the agreement.
  - Facilitator remained neutral, objective, and unbiased.
  - Institutional record keeping responsibility.
  - Confidentiality for the process, unless otherwise required by law or applicable policy.
Other Possible Terms

- The parties agree to waive right to appeal the resolution agreement (*optional*) and waive formal grievance process.

- Title IX Coordinator will facilitate the agreed upon outcomes.

- Non-disclosure clause

- Non-disparagement clause
QUESTIONS?

BREAK
Failure to Reach Agreement

- Explain...
  - The initial inability to reach an agreement does not mean we stop trying, unless a party says so.

- Methods of continuing dialogue
  - Date certain to resume
  - Follow-up phone conferences
  - Meeting with each party
  - Time to think
  - Time to consult others

- If efforts to resolve fail, formal complaint returns to the Grievance Process.
Failure to Reach Agreement

Other ideas?

#9

Preparing for the Mock Mediation
Fact Pattern

Formal Complaint

To: TitleX@TitleX@Fictitious.edu

Dear Title IX Coordinator,

Please accept this email as my Formal Complaint against my ex-partner and fellow student, Quinn Quimby.

- On May 1, 2021, Quinn grabbed me by both arms tightly and threw me out of their dorm room.
- After we ended our relationship on August 15, 2021, Quinn posted harassing and humiliating sexual things about me on their Twitter account. Quinn has at least 9,000 Twitter followers and many of them are Fictitious State University students.

Bailey Benson

Bailey Benson
Communications ’22
Fictitious State University

Strategy
For Mediation
Mock Mediation Module I: Messaging, Fact Exploration & Negotiation

The Mediation Part I:
Messaging, Fact Exploration, & Negotiation
BREAK

Mock Mediation Module II: Dealing with Party Advisors & Saving the Mediation

#11
Mediation Part 2: Handling Mediation Challenges

#12
Mock Mediation Debrief and Final Q&A
QUESTIONS?

Thank you!

Please remember to complete the event evaluation. Your comments will help us continually improve the quality of our programs.

Please look in the Chat for the event evaluation link.