FACULTY SENATE MARCH 27, 1985

The Faculty Senate of Baylor University met at 4:00 p.m. in the Carroll Science Building, Room 201. The invocation was given by Dr. Ray Read. The first order of business was the motion to accept the minutes of the previous meeting as distributed. Seconded and motion carried.

Dr. Robert Miller gave the report of the Nominating Committee. The Committee appointed the following members to serve as a Committee on Committees:

Richard Cutter, Chairman Harold Osborne Del Chesser Danny Turner Ted Powers

Dr. Richard Cutter gave the report of the Committee on Committees. He made a motion that the procedure presently being used to appoint the university committees (evaluation and appointment by President Reynolds) shall continue and the Senate shall be given the opportunity to review recommendations of the President and make revisions of committee membership and the structure of committees before final approval. He also included as part of his motion that "No faculty member will serve on more than two university committees." The motion was made by Dr. Cutter to accept the above suggestion. Dr. Miller seconded the motion, and it carried.

Dr. Conway reported on the Committee on Constitution and By-Laws in absence of Mr. Erwin Elias. Dr. Conway stated that he sent off to ten (10) universities for their constitutions and by-laws to use as guidelines to writing Baylor's constitution and by-laws. Mr. Elias is writing the first draft. The committee may possibly make a recommendation at the April 17th Faculty Senate Meeting.

Dr. Conway reported on the organization meeting of the University Council. He stated the chairman of the Faculty Senate is automatically on the University Council. Ben Dickerson was elected as chairman, and the council was basically just getting organized at its first two meetings.

Dr. Conway stated that he would omit Other Old Business to go on to the first item of New Business, which was a report from the Faculty Evaluation Committee. The four members representing this committee and the sections each discussed were:

- a. Baxter Johns-Summary
- b. Cal Kent-Section I
- c. Tom Myer--Section II
- d, Harold Osborne--Section III

A lengthy discussion among all members followed the presentation. Dr. Jack Kilgore radically objected to several items presented in the report. After his objections were stated, Dr. Conway asked the Committee on Faculty Evaluations to please respond. During discussion, Dr. Conway interrupted the meeting at 5:00 p.m. (the previously approved adjournment time) to ask if they would like to extend the meeting ten to fifteen minutes. A fifteen minute extension was approved and the discussion continued. After the members of the Faculty Evaluation Committee responded to Dr. Kilgore's objections, Dr. Conway asked the members to comment on what they liked about the report.

After the discussion period ended, Dr. Conway asked the committee in what way they would like to evaluate the Faculty Evaluation Report. He stated it could be evaluated in one of the following ways:

- 1. Appoint a study committee.
- 2. Evaluate it with all members together at the April 17, 1985 meeting.

He then asked for any other suggestions. The majority of the Senate members were in agreement not to rush the evaluation of the report because of its importance and the fact that the Faculty Evaluation Committee had spent two years putting it together. Judith Martin suggested the Senate members put in more time reviewing it. A motion was made to call a special meeting on April 10th to discuss further the Report of the Faculty Evaluation Committee. The Faculty Evaluation Committee would not be present at the April 10th meeting, but would return for the April 17th meeting to respond to questions from Senate Members. Motion seconded and passed.

The Faculty Senate Meeting adjourned at 5:15 p.m.