

## NOT OBEYING INSTRUCTIONS OF PROFESSOR

### Honor Code Definitions II. C. (12)

Failing to follow the instructions of a professor in completing an assignment or examination, if one knows or should reasonably know that one would, by such conduct, obtain an unfair academic advantage.

SEMESTER	OFFENSE	FACULTY OR HONOR COUNCIL DECISION	SANCTION
Spring 2007	None.		
Summer 2007	None.		
Fall 2007	During two exams in Economics, student exchanged exam papers with a student seated behind student without the permission of the instructor, allowing student to have the same exam paper as the neighboring student, from whom student then copied answers, all of which conduct student knew or should have known would give student an unfair academic advantage.	Honor Council <i>(2<sup>nd</sup> offense - Automatic Referral)</i>	Received an "F" in the course and one semester probation (Spring 2008). Additional sanction imposed: suspension for one semester (Spring 2008).
Fall 2007	During an exam in Business student looked at another student's exam paper in violation of the instructions given by the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the exam.
Fall 2007	In violation of professor's instructions, student used outside resources to write an essay which was submitted for credit in History. This essay also contained portions of the plot summary from an online resource which you did not identify with proper notations or credit the original source.	Faculty	Received an "F" in the class.
Spring 2008	During the first five weeks of Spring 2008 classes, student attended classes which student had not paid for at Baylor University in violation of University policies and in violation of instructions given student by the Cashier's Office. In addition, student deliberately misrepresented the situation to department chair and to other professors in an attempt to change earned grades of "F"s to acceptable grades.	Faculty	Received a written reprimand.

## NOT OBEYING INSTRUCTIONS OF PROFESSOR

### Honor Code Definitions II. C. (12)

Failing to follow the instructions of a professor in completing an assignment or examination, if one knows or should reasonably know that one would, by such conduct, obtain an unfair academic advantage.

Spring 2008	Student failed to follow the instructions of professors by repeatedly looking onto an exam paper belonging to a student in front of student during an exam in Business.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the exam.
Summer 2008	None.		
Fall 2008	During an exam in Business student repeatedly looked around the room and at another student's exam paper in violation of instructions from the professor to keep eyes on own exam.	Faculty	Received a deduction of 10 points on exam grade.
Fall 2008	Student had a cell phone out during an assessment in Business in violation of the written and stated policy of the professor prohibiting visible mobile devices during assessment periods. This incident came after two prior occasions on which student had a cell phone out during an assessment and after having received verbal and email warnings concerning actions.	Faculty	Received a "0" on two quizzes.
Fall 2008	During Exam #2 Speech Communication student was observed looking at another student's exam in violation of professor's instructions. In addition, the answers on one page of the test were identical to those of the student whose paper student was observed viewing.	Honor Council	NOT GUILTY - Grade will be restored to what student would have earned in the course.
Spring 2009	Student failed to comply with directives from the professor by either using a mobile device or having it visible during an opening assessment in Business. Mobile devices are prohibited because they can give students an unfair academic advantage over other students.	Faculty	Last six quiz grades were voided.

## NOT OBEYING INSTRUCTIONS OF PROFESSOR

### Honor Code Definitions II. C. (12)

Failing to follow the instructions of a professor in completing an assignment or examination, if one knows or should reasonably know that one would, by such conduct, obtain an unfair academic advantage.

Spring 2009	Student took photos with cell phone of pages in the solutions manuals for Accounting text book in violation of instructions given by professor and knew or should have reasonably known that by such conduct student would obtain an academic advantage.	Faculty	Maximum points received for homework in the class reduced from a possible 8% of the total points given in class to 7%.
Spring 2009	Student was observed texting on a mobile device during an opening assessment in Business in violation of instructions from the professor.	Faculty	Loss of last six opening quiz grades.
Spring 2009	While taking a test for Latin in the Office of Access and Learning Accommodation (OALA), student was observed consulting student's cell phone in violation of clear instructions given to him by the OALA staff. Furthermore, the internet browser on student's phone revealed that student had been accessing a Latin to English web site.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the test.
Spring 2009	On several occasions, in violation of instructions given by the professor, student looked or attempted to look at another student's response pad during opening quizzes in Business.	Faculty	Loss of 6 quiz grades.

## NOT OBEYING INSTRUCTIONS OF PROFESSOR

### Honor Code Definitions II. C. (12)

Failing to follow the instructions of a professor in completing an assignment or examination, if one knows or should reasonably know that one would, by such conduct, obtain an unfair academic advantage.

Spring 2009	In order to give student an academic advantage during an exam in Chemistry, student failed to follow the instructions of the professor for completing the exam by submitting a Scantron that had answers for a Form B exam instead of the Form A exam which was the form student's row was given. The answers student submitted appeared to have been copied from a Form B exam which student was not given. In addition, student failed to turn in an exam booklet with student's name on it as is required by the professor.	Honor Council <i>(2<sup>nd</sup> offense - Automatic Referral)</i>	Received an "F" in the course and expulsion from the University.
Spring 2009	In violation of instructions from the professor, student placed notes on the floor under the desk so that they were visible during an exam in Biology. In addition, student was seen looking at the notes during the exam.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the exam and an "F" in the course.
Spring 2009	Student was observed looking around the room excessively during an exam in Psychology in spite of clear instructions from the professor on that day and in spite of the warning given to student on a previous exam to keep eyes on own exam.	Faculty	Received a verbal warning and seated on the front row for future exams in this class.
Spring 2009	On several occasions, in violation of instructions from the professor, student looked onto a neighboring student's CPS response pad during opening assessments in Business. In addition, there was a high correlation between student's answers and those of the neighboring student.	Faculty	Lost the last 6 quiz grades.

## NOT OBEYING INSTRUCTIONS OF PROFESSOR

### Honor Code Definitions II. C. (12)

Failing to follow the instructions of a professor in completing an assignment or examination, if one knows or should reasonably know that one would, by such conduct, obtain an unfair academic advantage.

Spring 2009	On several occasions, in violation of instructions given by the professor, student talked to another student during opening assessments in Business.	Faculty	Lost the last two quiz grades.
Spring 2009	On several occasions, in violation of instructions given by the professor, student talked to a student and looked at several different students during opening assessments in Business.	Faculty	Lost the last 6 quiz grades.
Summer 2009	None.		
Fall 2009	After receiving a verbal warning for the same infraction earlier in the semester, student had a mobile device/cell phone visible during an assessment period in Business, in violation of instructions given by the instructor.	Faculty	Lost last three quiz grades.
Fall 2009	Student failed to follow the instructions of the professor for completing a group assignment in Accounting when student sent a text message to a former student seeking information about the case.	Honor Council	Received a "0" on the assignment.
Fall 2009	Student failed to follow the instructions of the professor regarding an assignment in Accounting when student sought advice related to the assignment from student's mother, a CPA, on the phone.	Honor Council	Received a "0" on the assignment.
Fall 2009	Student used materials not authorized by instructor in completing an assignment for Accounting.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment.
Fall 2009	Student used materials not authorized by instructor in completing an assignment for Accounting.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment.

## NOT OBEYING INSTRUCTIONS OF PROFESSOR

### Honor Code Definitions II. C. (12)

Failing to follow the instructions of a professor in completing an assignment or examination, if one knows or should reasonably know that one would, by such conduct, obtain an unfair academic advantage.

Fall 2009	Student used materials not authorized by instructor in completing an assignment for Accounting.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment.
Fall 2009	In violation of instructions from instructor, student contacted a former student to obtain help in completing an assignment for Accounting. In addition, student obtained from that student a solution to the assignment.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment.
Fall 2009	Student used material not authorized by the instructor (a solutions set from the internet) in completing an assignment for Accounting.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment.
Fall 2009	Student used material not authorized by the instructor (a solutions set from the internet) in completing an assignment for Accounting.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment.
Fall 2009	Student used material not authorized by the instructor (a solutions set from the internet) in completing an assignment for Accounting.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment.
Fall 2009	Student used material not authorized by the instructor (a solutions set from the internet) in completing an assignment for Accounting.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment.
Fall 2009	Student used materials not authorized by the instructor (a presentation prepared by students in a previous semester and a solution from the internet) in completing an assignment for Accounting.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment.
Spring 2010	During an exam in Business, student failed to follow the instructions of the professor by repeatedly looking onto another student's Scantron.	Honor Council	Received a grade of "0" on the exam.
Spring 2010	On numerous occasions student was observed looking onto his neighbor's quiz during quizzes in Business in violation of instructions	Faculty	Lost 8 quiz grades.

## NOT OBEYING INSTRUCTIONS OF PROFESSOR

### Honor Code Definitions II. C. (12)

Failing to follow the instructions of a professor in completing an assignment or examination, if one knows or should reasonably know that one would, by such conduct, obtain an unfair academic advantage.

	given by the professor.		
Spring 2010	Student talked with the student next to student during a quiz in Business in violation of instructions given by the professor.	Honor Council <i>(2<sup>nd</sup> offense - Automatic Referral)</i>	Lost two quiz grades, plus received an "F" in BUS 1301 as additional sanction for second violation.
Spring 2010	Student talked with the student next to student during a quiz in Business in violation of instructions given by the professor.	Faculty	Lost two quiz grades
Spring 2010	In violation of instructions given by the professor, student was observed repeatedly looking onto neighboring students' exams and Scantrons during an exam in Business. Additionally, student switched exams with another student at the beginning of the exam period.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the exam.
Spring 2010	Student submitted a fashion illustration drawing student had traced as student's own work for credit on a project in Family and Consumer Sciences in violation of instructions given by the professor for completing the assignment.	Honor Council <i>(2<sup>nd</sup> offense - Automatic Referral)</i>	Received a "0" on the project. Required to write a 1500 word essay on personal responsibility in pursuing a college education. Also required to complete 20 hours community service.
Summer 2010	None		
Fall 2010	Student failed to follow the instructions of the professor while taking an exam in Business by repeatedly looking onto a neighboring exam.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the exam.
Fall 2010	On multiple occasions student looked onto the response pad of another student during opening quizzes in Business in violation of instructions given by the professor.	Faculty	Lost six quiz grades.
Fall 2010	Student took pictures with cell phone of a test in Accounting in violation of instructions from the professor.	Faculty	Received an "F" in the class and was required to write a letter of apology which was then read to the class by the professor.
Fall 2010	While taking a make-up exam for Psychology in the Psychology Department conference room, student did not remain seated as	Honor Council	Received a "0" for the test.

## NOT OBEYING INSTRUCTIONS OF PROFESSOR

### Honor Code Definitions II. C. (12)

Failing to follow the instructions of a professor in completing an assignment or examination, if one knows or should reasonably know that one would, by such conduct, obtain an unfair academic advantage.

	instructed by the office worker. In addition, student made answers available to another student taking the test.		
Fall 2010	While taking a make-up exam for Psychology in the Psychology Department conference room, student did not remain seated as instructed by the office worker. In addition, student obtained answers from or made answers available to another student taking the test.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the test.
Spring 2011	Student failed to follow the instructions of professor by speaking to another student during an opening assessment in Business which is prohibited by this faculty member in order to prevent students from having an unfair academic advantage.	Honor Council	Received a "0" on the quiz.
Spring 2011	On at least four occasions student looked onto the response pad of another student during opening quizzes in Business in violation of instructions given by the professor.	Faculty	Lost six quiz grades.
Spring 2011	During an opening assessment in Business student failed to follow the instructions of the professor by speaking to another student, which is prohibited by this faculty member in order to prevent students from having an unfair academic advantage.	Faculty	Lost the quiz grade.
Spring 2011	During an opening assessment in Business student failed to follow the instructions of the professor by speaking to another student, which is prohibited by this faculty member in order to prevent students from having an unfair academic advantage.	Faculty	Lost the quiz grade.
Spring 2011	In violation of the instructions from the professor, student looked onto another	Honor Council <i>(2<sup>nd</sup> offense -</i>	Received a permanent "F" in course and allowed to retake the course.



## NOT OBEYING INSTRUCTIONS OF PROFESSOR

### Honor Code Definitions II. C. (12)

Failing to follow the instructions of a professor in completing an assignment or examination, if one knows or should reasonably know that one would, by such conduct, obtain an unfair academic advantage.

	student's paper during a mid-term exam and lecture exam in Biology in an attempt to obtain an academic advantage.	<i>Automatic Referral)</i>	
Spring 2011	Student failed to follow the instructions of the professor and the Office of Access and Learning Accommodation by having notes on student's hand and referring to those notes while taking an exam for credit in Psychology at the OALA facility.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course and will not be allowed to take any other course taught by the professor.
Spring 2011	Student submitted for credit in Management Information Systems a project that, in violation of the professor's instructions, was prepared in collaboration with 3 other students rather than a maximum of two other students, as instructed. In addition, or in the alternative, student submitted the work of another student as student's own or allowed another student to submit student's work as his/her own. Student also had knowledge before the project was submitted that the submission of substantially the same work by four students was in violation of the professor's instructions and failed to report the behavior.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Spring 2011	Student submitted for credit in Management Information Systems a project that, in violation of the professor's instructions, was prepared in collaboration with 3 other students rather than a maximum of two other students, as instructed. In addition, or in the alternative, student submitted the work of another student as student's own or allowed another student to submit student's work as his/her own. Student also had knowledge	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.

## NOT OBEYING INSTRUCTIONS OF PROFESSOR

### Honor Code Definitions II. C. (12)

Failing to follow the instructions of a professor in completing an assignment or examination, if one knows or should reasonably know that one would, by such conduct, obtain an unfair academic advantage.

	before the project was submitted that the submission of substantially the same work by four students was in violation of the professor's instructions and failed to report the behavior.		
Spring 2011	Student submitted for credit in Management Information Systems a project that, in violation of the professor's instructions, was prepared in collaboration with 3 other students rather than a maximum of two other students, as instructed. In addition, or in the alternative, student submitted the work of another student as student's own or allowed another student to submit student's work as his/her own. Student also had knowledge before the project was submitted that the submission of substantially the same work by four students was in violation of the professor's instructions and failed to report the behavior.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Spring 2011	Student submitted for credit in Management Information Systems a project that, in violation of the professor's instructions, was prepared in collaboration with 3 other students rather than a maximum of two other students, as instructed. In addition, or in the alternative, student submitted the work of another student as student's own or allowed another student to submit student's work as his/her own. Student also had knowledge before the project was submitted that the submission of substantially the same work by four students was in violation of the professor's instructions and failed to report	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.

## NOT OBEYING INSTRUCTIONS OF PROFESSOR

### Honor Code Definitions II. C. (12)

Failing to follow the instructions of a professor in completing an assignment or examination, if one knows or should reasonably know that one would, by such conduct, obtain an unfair academic advantage.

	the behavior.		
Spring 2011	During the final examination in Nursing, student failed to turn in final exam (scanform) when the time for the exam had ended. Additionally student failed to comply with directives from the professor.	Faculty	Received a reduction in exam score.
Summer 2011	Student took pictures of a quiz answer key during a quiz review in Nursing in violation of instructions received from the professor. In addition, this was the second incident of this nature. Student also videotaped the instructor's health assessment instructions related to the health assessment final exam in Nursing. At that time student was instructed that students are not allowed to video or audio record classes without the instructor's written permission.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the quiz, will not be allowed to drop the grade, and was placed on a learning contract.
Fall 2011	Student failed to follow the instructions of the professor in Business by looking onto neighboring students' response pads during multiple opening quizzes and by obtaining information pertaining to the quizzes.	Faculty	Lost four quiz grades for the course.
Fall 2011	Student failed to follow the instructions of the professor in Business by looking onto neighboring students' response pads during opening quizzes and by obtaining information pertaining to the quizzes.	Faculty	Lost 6 quiz grades for the course.
Fall 2011	Student failed to follow the instructions of the professor when student spoke to another student during an opening assessment in Business. In addition, the students' conversation was about the quiz.	Faculty	Lost the quiz grade for that day.
Fall 2011	Student failed to follow the instructions of the professor when student spoke to another	Faculty	Lost the quiz grade for that day.

## NOT OBEYING INSTRUCTIONS OF PROFESSOR

### Honor Code Definitions II. C. (12)

Failing to follow the instructions of a professor in completing an assignment or examination, if one knows or should reasonably know that one would, by such conduct, obtain an unfair academic advantage.

	student during an opening assessment in Business. In addition, the students' conversation was about the quiz.		
Fall 2011	On several occasions student looked onto the response pads of neighboring students during quizzes in Business in violation of instructions from the professor.	Faculty	Received the loss of two quiz grades.
Spring 2012	While taking the final exam in Math student accessed a cell phone in violation of course policies.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course
Spring 2012	Student used notes from a previous semester in Psychology that student had purchased and copied. This was done in violation of the professor's instructions in the syllabus, and said behavior gave student an unfair academic advantage in the course.	Honor Council	Received a reduced grade for recitation score and "0" on quizzes in course up to the point of discovery of violation.
Spring 2012	Student used notes from a previous semester in Psychology that student had purchased and copied. This was done in violation of the professor's instructions in the syllabus, and said behavior gave student an unfair academic advantage in the course.	Honor Council	Received a reduced grade for recitation score and "0" on quizzes in course up to the point of discovery of violation.
Spring 2012	Student used notes from a previous semester in Psychology that student had purchased and copied. This was done in violation of the professor's instructions in the syllabus, and said behavior gave student an unfair academic advantage in the course.	Honor Council	Received a reduced grade for recitation score and "0" on quizzes in course up to the point of discovery of violation.
Spring 2012	Student used notes from a previous semester in Psychology that student had purchased and copied. This was done in violation of the professor's instructions in the syllabus, and said behavior gave student an unfair academic advantage in the course.	Faculty	Received a reduced grade for recitation score and "0" on quizzes in course up to the point of discovery of violation.

## NOT OBEYING INSTRUCTIONS OF PROFESSOR

### Honor Code Definitions II. C. (12)

Failing to follow the instructions of a professor in completing an assignment or examination, if one knows or should reasonably know that one would, by such conduct, obtain an unfair academic advantage.

Spring 2012	Student submitted work for credit in Psychology that was substantially identical to that of two other students, having either offered the work of another as student's own or collaborated with other students on the assignment without the permission of the professor.	Honor Council <i>(2<sup>nd</sup> offense - Automatic Referral)</i>	Received a "0" on the lab.
Spring 2012	Student submitted work for credit in Psychology that was substantially identical to that of two other students, having either offered the work of another as student's own or collaborated with other students on the assignment without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the lab.
Spring 2012	Student submitted work for credit in Psychology that was substantially identical to that of two other students, having either offered the work of another as student's own or collaborated with other students on the assignment without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the lab.
Spring 2012	During a test in Biology student used a cell phone to search for answers to the test in violation of instructions from the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the test.
Spring 2012	Student submitted for credit in Spanish a composition that, in violation of the professor's instructions, was either prepared in collaboration with another or through the use of outside sources.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment.
Spring 2012	Student submitted answers for a test in Chemistry on a scantron that was not an issued and authorized scantron provided by the professor for the test. This was a violation of the instructions from the professor. In	Honor Council <i>(2<sup>nd</sup> offense - Automatic Referral)</i>	Received a permanent "F" in course and suspended for one semester.

## NOT OBEYING INSTRUCTIONS OF PROFESSOR

### Honor Code Definitions II. C. (12)

Failing to follow the instructions of a professor in completing an assignment or examination, if one knows or should reasonably know that one would, by such conduct, obtain an unfair academic advantage.

	addition, student was observed writing information on another scantron that student told another student student planned to take into the test.		
Spring 2012	During an exam in Math student had a cell phone out and was looking at it in violation of instructions from the professor. In addition, the cell phone had displayed on it a picture of a spiral notebook with math writing.	Honor Council <i>(2<sup>nd</sup> offense - Automatic Referral)</i>	Received an "F" for the course and suspension for one semester.
Spring 2012	During an exam in Spanish student had a cell phone out in violation of instructions from the professor. Student also obtained answers to the exam from the cell phone. In addition, student was observed during previous tests in the class with a cell phone out and had been warned by the professor not to have a phone out during future tests.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Summer 2012	During an exam in History, in violation of the professor's instructions, student looked at a cell phone. In addition, student was looking at the cell phone to obtain information to help on the test.	Faculty	Required to sit directly in front of the professor during the final exam.
Fall 2012	During an exam in Math, student had a book open in violation of the instructions from the professor.	Faculty	Received no points for the exam.
Spring 2013	While taking a test for Physics in the Office of Access and Learning Accommodation (OALA), student was observed with a cell phone in violation of clear instructions given to student by the OALA staff. When asked prior to taking the test if student had a cell phone, student misrepresented facts by stating that student did not have it. In addition, student used the phone to access answers for the exam.	Honor Council <i>(2<sup>nd</sup> offense - Automatic Referral)</i>	Received a permanent F in the course - to be a permanent part of GPA, but student may repeat the course.

## NOT OBEYING INSTRUCTIONS OF PROFESSOR

### Honor Code Definitions II. C. (12)

Failing to follow the instructions of a professor in completing an assignment or examination, if one knows or should reasonably know that one would, by such conduct, obtain an unfair academic advantage.

Spring 2013	Student failed to follow the instructions of the professor when student did not pay the entrance fee for a dance at Sul Ross Senior Center which student attended with another student who was meeting requirements for passing Human Performance. Student also represented falsely that student did not have to pay the fee because student was just observing.	Honor Council	NOT GUILTY
Spring 2013	In order to meet the dance requirements for passing Human Performance, student attended a dance at the Sul Ross Senior Center and failed to follow the instructions of the professor in failing to notify her ahead of time that student would be attending so that she could be there, in failing to stay the required length of time, and in failing to complete the required amount of dancing. In addition, student did not pay the \$6.00 entrance fee when student arrived, but lied to the personnel at the door saying student was "just observing", when, in fact, student had been instructed by the professor that student must pay the fee.	Honor Council	Lost all of remaining participation points for the class.
Spring 2013	Student was observed talking to another student during an exam in Psychology in violation of instructions from the professor. In addition, student obtained and/or gave unauthorized information related to the exam during the examination period.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the exam.
Spring 2013	Student was observed talking to another student during an exam in Psychology in violation of instructions from the professor. In addition, student obtained and/or gave	Faculty	Received a "0" on the exam.

## NOT OBEYING INSTRUCTIONS OF PROFESSOR

### Honor Code Definitions II. C. (12)

Failing to follow the instructions of a professor in completing an assignment or examination, if one knows or should reasonably know that one would, by such conduct, obtain an unfair academic advantage.

	unauthorized information related to the exam during the examination period.		
Summer 2013	During the final exam in Physics, student had an unauthorized sheet of information between authorized pages of formula notes during the exam.	Honor Council <i>(2<sup>nd</sup> offense - Automatic Referral)</i>	Received a permanent F for the course. May retake for credit. Suspended for two semesters.
Fall 2013	In violation of instructions from the professor, student had a phone out and was observed typing into the phone and looking at it during an exam in Swahili. In addition, student used the phone to secure translation material on the exam.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the exam.
Fall 2013	Following a class review of exams taken the prior class day, student failed to return the exam to the professor as instructed. In addition, even though the professor contacted student by email about the exam and talked to student after the next class, student repeatedly failed to comply with the professor's instructions to return the exam.	Honor Council <i>(2<sup>nd</sup> offense - Automatic Referral)</i>	Received a permanent "F" for the course and suspended for one semester.
Fall 2013	In violation of instructions from the professor, student submitted a reaction paper for credit in Classics for which student used at least two online sources. In addition, the paper contained passages student copied either word for word or in substance from online resources without crediting the original author or identifying said passages.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Fall 2013	Student submitted a reaction paper for credit in Classics for which student used an online source in violation of instructions from the professor for the assignment. In addition, the paper contained passage(s) copied either word for word or in substance from the online	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.



## NOT OBEYING INSTRUCTIONS OF PROFESSOR

### Honor Code Definitions II. C. (12)

Failing to follow the instructions of a professor in completing an assignment or examination, if one knows or should reasonably know that one would, by such conduct, obtain an unfair academic advantage.

	resource without crediting the original author or identifying said passages.		
Fall 2013	In violation of instructions from the professor, student took the online final exam for Family and Consumer Sciences in an unauthorized location on student's own laptop instead of taking it in the computer lab provided for the final exam.	Faculty	Was not allowed to replace a lower grade with that made on the optional portion of the final exam, and the date of the final exam was counted as an absence.
Fall 2013	In violation of instructions from the professor, student had a phone out during an exam in Biology. In addition, student was observed accessing information on the phone during the exam.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the exam.
Spring 2014	In violation of instructions from the professor and in order to gain an academic advantage, student received help for an online exam assigned for Nursing from a student who had taken the course in an earlier semester. In addition, and also in violation of the professor's instructions, student used notes and other materials which that student passed down to student, and then student made at least some of those items available to other students.	Faculty	The violation was reported to the Office of Academic Integrity.
Spring 2014	In violation of instructions from the professor, and in order to gain an academic advantage, student used a digital translator to write an essay student submitted for credit in Spanish.	Faculty	Required to rewrite the essay.
Summer 2014	None.		
Fall 2014	In violation of the instructions from the professor, student shared answers with or worked with another student on a homework assignment in Physics.	Honor Council <i>(2<sup>nd</sup> offense - Automatic Referral)</i>	Permanent F in course and suspension for 2 semesters.
Fall 2014	In violation of the instructions from the	Honor Council	Permanent F in course and suspension for 2 semesters.

## NOT OBEYING INSTRUCTIONS OF PROFESSOR

### Honor Code Definitions II. C. (12)

Failing to follow the instructions of a professor in completing an assignment or examination, if one knows or should reasonably know that one would, by such conduct, obtain an unfair academic advantage.

	professor, student shared answers with or worked with another student on a homework assignment in Physics.	<i>(2<sup>nd</sup> offense - Automatic Referral)</i>	
Fall 2014	In violation of the instructions from the professor, student provided answers containing computer code to another student who then submitted a homework assignment for credit in computer Science containing those answers.	Honor Council	Received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2014	In violation of the instructions from the professor, student submitted a homework assignment for credit in Computer Science containing answers student requested from and were provided to him by another student.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2014	In violation of the instructions from the professor, student allowed another student to obtain answers containing computer code from student and then submit those answers as that student's own on a homework assignment in Computer Science.	Honor Council <i>(2<sup>nd</sup> offense - Automatic Referral)</i>	Received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2014	In violation of the instructions from the professor, student submitted a homework assignment for credit in Computer Science containing answers student obtained from another student.	Honor Council <i>(2<sup>nd</sup> offense - Automatic Referral)</i>	Received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2014	In violation of the instructions from the professor, student had cell phone turned on and in student's possession during an exam in Psychology. When instructor asked student for the cell phone, student misrepresented facts by claiming student did not have a cell phone.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the final exam.
Spring 2015	In violation of the instructions from the professor, student took notes during a post-	Faculty	Received a 10 point deduction from grade on the final exam.

## NOT OBEYING INSTRUCTIONS OF PROFESSOR

### Honor Code Definitions II. C. (12)

Failing to follow the instructions of a professor in completing an assignment or examination, if one knows or should reasonably know that one would, by such conduct, obtain an unfair academic advantage.

	exam review in Nursing.		
Spring 2015	In violation of the professor's instructions, student shared answers with another student on work submitted for credit in Finance.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Spring 2015	In violation of the professor's instructions, student shared answers with another student on work submitted for credit in Finance.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Spring 2015	In violation of the instructions from the professor, student had photocopied pages from the textbook in student's possession and used them during recitation in Psychology/Neuroscience.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Spring 2015	In violation of the instructions from the professor, student had photocopied pages from the textbook in student's possession and used them during recitation in Psychology/Neuroscience.	Honor Council	Received an "F" for the course.
Spring 2015	While taking an exam in Biology, student was observed looking at a cell phone in student's lap in violation of clear instructions from the professor.	Faculty	Exam was taken from student and student was instructed to leave the room.
Summer 2015	In violation of professors' instructions for Nursing, student wrote test information on student's body in order to take the information from the testing room for further review after the exam.	Faculty	Required to submit an APA paper on academic integrity, ethical standards in nursing, and Texas Board of Nursing research on importance of honesty and integrity in nursing.
Fall 2015	During an exam in Math, student was observed looking at a review sheet after clear instructions to put everything away were given to the class by the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the exam.
Fall 2015	Before coming to Environmental Science late, student took an online quiz that was required to be completed in class.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the quiz.
Fall 2015	In violation of the instructions and course	Faculty	Received a "-1" for the recitation score that day for each time

## NOT OBEYING INSTRUCTIONS OF PROFESSOR

### Honor Code Definitions II. C. (12)

Failing to follow the instructions of a professor in completing an assignment or examination, if one knows or should reasonably know that one would, by such conduct, obtain an unfair academic advantage.

	guidelines from the professor, student had a copy of the article under discussion that day in class in your possession and used it during recitation in Neuroscience.		called upon.
Fall 2015	In violation of the instructions and course guidelines from the professor, student had a copy of the article under discussion that day in class in your possession and used it during recitation in Neuroscience.	Faculty	Received a "-1" for the recitation score that day for each time called upon.
Fall 2015	In violation of the instructions and course guidelines from the professor, student had a copy of the article under discussion that day in class in your possession and used it during recitation in Neuroscience.	Faculty	Received a "-1" for the recitation score that day for each time called upon.
Fall 2015	In violation of the instructions and course guidelines from the professor, student had a copy of the article under discussion that day in class in your possession and used it during recitation in Neuroscience.	Faculty	Received a "-1" for the recitation score that day for each time called upon.
Fall 2015	In violation of the instructions and course guidelines from the professor, student had a copy of the article under discussion that day in class in your possession and used it during recitation in Neuroscience.	Faculty	Received a "-1" for the recitation score that day for each time called upon.
Fall 2015	During a test in Nutrition, student used a cell phone to look up answers to the test in violation of instructions from the professor. Additionally, student initially lied several times to the professor when he questioned student about this violation.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the test.
Fall 2015	Student emailed answers to a case study in Nutrition to another student with whom student was collaborating on the assignment, going beyond the professor's allowable limits	Faculty	The grade for the case study will be dropped.

## NOT OBEYING INSTRUCTIONS OF PROFESSOR

### Honor Code Definitions II. C. (12)

Failing to follow the instructions of a professor in completing an assignment or examination, if one knows or should reasonably know that one would, by such conduct, obtain an unfair academic advantage.

	for collaboration. Additionally, student initially lied to the professor when questioned about sending the e-mail to another student.		
Fall 2015	While taking a test for Religion in the Office of Access and Learning Accommodation (OALA), student was observed with a cell phone in violation of clear instructions given to student by the OALA staff. In addition, student used the phone to access answers for the exam. When asked about the cell phone, student misrepresented facts by stating that student did not have one.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the final exam resulting in an "F" for the course.
Spring 2016	Student accessed a translation Web site during an in-class composition for Italian in violation of the instructions of the professor.	Faculty	Received no credit for the composition.
Spring 2016	Student accessed a translation Web site during an in-class composition for Italian in violation of the instructions of the professor.	Honor Council	Received no credit for the composition, but allowed to complete an alternate assignment for possible 50% credit.
Spring 2016	While not present in class, student attempted to take an online quiz for Environmental Science that was required to be completed in class.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the quiz.
Spring 2016	While student was not present in class, student attempted to take an online quiz for Environmental Science that was required to be completed in class.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the quiz.
Spring 2016	In violation of instructions from the professor to use only class notes and textbooks on the take-home midterm exam in Sociology, student accessed internet sources using passages from the work of another in the midterm exam without properly identifying said passages or crediting the original author(s).	Faculty	Received a "0" on the midterm exam.

## NOT OBEYING INSTRUCTIONS OF PROFESSOR

### Honor Code Definitions II. C. (12)

Failing to follow the instructions of a professor in completing an assignment or examination, if one knows or should reasonably know that one would, by such conduct, obtain an unfair academic advantage.

Spring 2016	While taking a test for Economics in the Office of Access and Learning Accommodation (OALA), student was observed with a cell phone in violation of clear instructions given to student by the OALA staff. Student misrepresented facts twice - first by stating that student did not have a cell phone when asked prior to the test, and second when denying having a cell phone when asked if student had one during the test.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the final exam.
Summer 2016	Student was observed using and referencing a cell phone repeatedly, in violation of the professor's instructions, while taking the final exam in Management	Honor Council <i>(2<sup>nd</sup> offense - Automatic Referral)</i>	Received an "F" for the course.
Summer 2016	Student was observed using and referencing a cell phone repeatedly, in violation of the professor's instructions, while taking the final exam in Management.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the final exam.
Fall 2016	Student submitted for credit in Computer Science a programming assignment that was identical to that of another student except for the names on the assignments, indicating conduct in violation of the instructions of the professor contained in the syllabus.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course. Because student dropped the course after the violation, the University reinstated student to the course and imposed a sanction of "F" for the course.
Fall 2016	Student submitted for credit in Computer Science a programming assignment that was identical to that of another student except for the names on the assignments, indicating conduct in violation of the instructions of the professor contained in the syllabus.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course. Because student dropped the course after the violation, the University reinstated student to the course and imposed a sanction of "F" for the course.
Fall 2016	Student was observed using and referencing a cell phone repeatedly, in violation of the professor's instructions, while taking a test in Interior Design.	Faculty	Phone was taken by professor until the end of the test.

## NOT OBEYING INSTRUCTIONS OF PROFESSOR

### Honor Code Definitions II. C. (12)

Failing to follow the instructions of a professor in completing an assignment or examination, if one knows or should reasonably know that one would, by such conduct, obtain an unfair academic advantage.

Fall 2016	Student used phone to photograph a test in Biology in violation of the professor's instructions that students are not allowed to use mobile devices while viewing tests.	Faculty	Received a warning from the professor and the behavior was reported to the Office of Academic Integrity.
Fall 2016	In violation of the instructions from the professor, student provided computer code and code design information to another student who then submitted an assignment for credit in Computer Science containing that information.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Fall 2016	Student was observed using a non-graphing calculator, in violation of the professor's instructions, while taking an exam in Mathematics.	Faculty	Received a "0" for each problem on the exam that showed clear calculator usage.
Fall 2016	While taking the final exam in Health Education student was observed consulting cell phone in violation of clear instructions given by the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the exam.
Fall 2016	While taking the final exam in Aviation Sciences student was observed consulting cell phone throughout the exam.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the final exam.
Fall 2016	While taking the final exam for Psychology, student was observed using cell phone in violation of clear instructions given by the professor. In addition, student lied to the professor when professor asked to see cell phone and student denied having one.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the exam and an "F" for the course.
Fall 2016	In violation of instructions from the professor to leave phones at her desk, student kept smart watch and used it during the final exam in Health Education.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the final exam.
Spring 2017	In violation of clear instructions from the professor, student submitted a solution to a program in Computer Science that was copied	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.

## NOT OBEYING INSTRUCTIONS OF PROFESSOR

### Honor Code Definitions II. C. (12)

Failing to follow the instructions of a professor in completing an assignment or examination, if one knows or should reasonably know that one would, by such conduct, obtain an unfair academic advantage.

	in whole or in part from an internet source. In addition, student failed to properly identify the portions copied or give credit to the original author of the material.		
Spring 2017	Student accessed and submitted an exam for credit in Management from an unapproved outside location when student was required to take the exam in the technology center learning lab. In addition, student accessed course materials 71 times during the exam in clear violation of course policy.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the exam. Any further violation of the Honor Code in the course will result in failure of the course.
Spring 2017	While taking an exam in Baylor Interdisciplinary Core student was observed looking at and typing on student's cell phone.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the exam.
Spring 2017	While taking the final exam for Nursing student continued to have course content notes visible on the computer after the exam had begun.	Faculty	Exam grade was reduced by percentage of points equal to percentage of test time during which student failed to follow instructions.
Summer 2017	Student submitted for credit in Chemistry a virtual lab assignment containing data matching an online source other than the one source authorized by the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.