UNAUTHORIZED COLLABORATION
Honor Code Definitions II. C. (4)
Offering for course credit work prepared in collaboration with another, unless the student secures the instructor's permission in advance of submission. A student does not prepare work in collaboration with another if he or she merely discusses with another a matter relevant to the work in question.

SEMESTER	OFFENSE	FACULTY OR HONOR COUNCIL DECISION	SANCTION
Fall 2020	Student submitted code for credit on the Practicum 6 in Computer Science that was substantially similar to that of other students, and collaboration on the assignment was not allowed.	Faculty Decision	Student received a "0" for the practicum category in the course.
Fall 2020	Student submitted code for credit on the Practicum 6 in Computer Science that was substantially similar to that of other students, and collaboration on the assignment was not allowed.	Faculty Decision	Student received a "0" for the practicum category in the course.
Fall 2020	Student submitted code for credit on the Practicum 6 in Computer Science that was substantially similar to that of other students, and collaboration on the assignment was not allowed.	Faculty Decision	Student received a "0" for the practicum category in the course.
Fall 2020	Student submitted code for credit on the Practicum 6 in Computer Science that was substantially similar to that of other students, and collaboration on the assignment was not allowed.	Faculty Decision	Student received a "0" for the practicum category in the course.
Fall 2020	Student submitted four assignments for credit in Mechanical Engineering that student completed with one or more other students rather than individually as instructed by the professor.	Faculty Decision	Student received a "0" for each of the assignments.
Fall 2020	Student submitted four assignments for credit in Mechanical Engineering that student completed with one or more other students rather than individually as instructed by the professor.	Faculty Decision	Student received a "0" for each of the assignments.
Fall 2020	Student submitted two assignments for credit in Mechanical Engineering that student completed with one or more other students rather than individually as instructed by the professor.	Faculty Decision	Student received a "0" for each of the assignments.
Fall 2020	Student submitted two assignments for credit in Mechanical Engineering that student completed with one or more other students rather than individually as	Faculty Decision	Student received a "0" for each of the assignments.

UNAUTHORIZED COLLABORATION
Honor Code Definitions II. C. (4)
Offering for course credit work prepared in collaboration with another, unless the student secures the instructor's permission in advance of submission. A student does not prepare work in collaboration with another if he or she merely discusses with another a matter relevant to the work in question.

	instructed by the professor.		
Fall 2020	Student asked for and received help from another student on Project 2 in Computer Science, and collaboration was not allowed on the assignment.	Faculty Decision	Student received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2020	Student assisted another student on Project 2 in Computer Science, and collaboration was not allowed on the assignment.	Honor Council Hearing - (2nd offense Automatic Referral)	Student received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2020	Student submitted code for project 4 for credit in Computer Science, that was logically identical to that submitted by another student and collaboration on the assignment was not allowed.	Faculty Decision	Student received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2020	Student submitted code for project 4 for credit in Computer Science, that was logically identical to that submitted by another student and collaboration on the assignment was not allowed.	Faculty Decision	Student received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2020	Student worked with another student without the permission of the professor to complete an exam in Quantitative Business Analysis.	Faculty Decision	Student will be required to retake exam 3 and cannot earn a grade higher than the initial grade. In addition, student will take all future exams for the course while being observed by Zoom.
Fall 2020	Student submitted for credit in Chemistry an assignment that was identical to one submitted by another student, and collaboration was not allowed on the assignment.	Faculty Decision	Student received a "0" for the assignment.
Fall 2020	Student submitted for credit in Chemistry an assignment that was identical to one submitted by another student, and collaboration was not allowed on the assignment.	Faculty Decision	Student received a "0" for the assignment.
Fall 2020	Student submitted an assignment for credit in Mechanical Engineering that you completed with other students rather than individually as instructed by the professor.	Faculty Decision	Student received a "0" for the assignment.
Fall 2020	Student submitted an assignment for credit in	Faculty Decision	Student received an "F" for the course.

UNAUTHORIZED COLLABORATION
Honor Code Definitions II. C. (4)
Offering for course credit work prepared in collaboration with another, unless the student secures the instructor's permission in advance of submission. A student does not prepare work in collaboration with another if he or she merely discusses with another a matter relevant to the work in question.

	Computer Science that was logically identical to a file submitted later in the day by a student in another group and collaboration across groups was not allowed on the assignment.		
Fall 2020	Student shared your group's work on an assignment in Computer Science with another student and collaboration across groups was not allowed.	Honor Council Hearing - (2nd offense Automatic Referral)	Student received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2020	Student communicated with another student without the permission of the professor regarding the Final Exam in Economics.	Faculty Decision	Student received a 20 point deduction from your final exam grade.
Fall 2020	Student communicated with another student without the permission of the professor regarding the Final Exam in Economics.	Faculty Decision	Student received a 20 point deduction from your final exam grade.
Fall 2020	Student communicated with another student during an exam in Civic Education and Community Services and collaboration was not allowed on the exam.	Faculty Decision	Student received 0 points for the exam and were not allowed to receive participation credit points for the course.
Fall 2020	Student communicated with another student during an exam in Civic Education and Community Services and collaboration was not allowed on the exam.	Faculty Decision	Student received 0 points for the exam and were not allowed to receive participation credit points for the course.
Fall 2020	Student shared a screenshot with another student for her to submit for credit on the final exam in Quantitative Business Analysis. In addition, the responses student submitted for the final exam appeared to be the result of collaboration with the other student since they contained multiple errors that were the same.	Faculty Decision	Student received a "0" for all questions on the final exam that appeared to be the result of collaboration.
Fall 2020	Student submitted a screenshot provided by another student for credit on your final exam in Quantitative Business Analysis. In addition, the responses submitted for the final exam appeared to be the result of collaboration with the other student since they contained multiple errors that were the same.	Faculty Decision	Student received a "0" for all questions on the final exam that appeared to be the result of collaboration.

UNAUTHORIZED COLLABORATION
Honor Code Definitions II. C. (4)
Offering for course credit work prepared in collaboration with another, unless the student secures the instructor's permission in advance of submission. A student does not prepare work in collaboration with another if he or she merely discusses with another a matter relevant to the work in question.

Fall 2020	Student collaborated on the final exam in Quantitative Business Analysis with other student(s) without the permission of the professor.	Faculty Decision	Student received a "0" for each answer that appeared to be the result of unauthorized collaboration.
Fall 2020	Student collaborated on the final exam in Quantitative Business Analysis with other student(s) without the permission of the professor.	Faculty Decision	Student received a "0" for each answer that appeared to be the result of unauthorized collaboration.
Fall 2020	Student collaborated on the final exam in Quantitative Business Analysis with other student(s) without the permission of the professor.	Faculty Decision	Student received a "0" for each answer that appeared to be a part of the unauthorized collaboration.
Fall 2020	Student created and used a group study guide to complete Exam 4 in English, and collaboration was not allowed on the exam. In addition, the same behavior occurred on Exam 3.	Faculty Decision	Student received an "F" (50) for Exam 4.
Fall 2020	Student created and used a group study guide to complete Exam 4 in English, and collaboration was not allowed on the exam. In addition, the same behavior occurred on Exam 3.	Faculty Decision	Student received an "F" (50) for Exam 4.
Fall 2020	Student created and used a group study guide to complete Exam 4 in English, and collaboration was not allowed on the exam. In addition, the same behavior occurred on Exam 3.	Faculty Decision	Student received an "F" (50) for Exam 4.
Fall 2020	Student collaborated with another student without the permission of the professor while taking the final exam in Math.	Faculty Decision	Student will receive an incomplete in the course and will be allowed to complete an assignment as designated by the professor by the end of the Spring semester in order to receive your grade.
Fall 2020	Student collaborated with another student without the permission of the professor while taking the final exam in Math.	Faculty Decision	Student will receive an incomplete in the course and will be allowed to complete an assignment as designated by the professor by the end of the Spring semester in order to receive your grade.
Fall 2020	Student submitted for credit in Math your final exam which was substantially similar to that submitted by another student and collaboration was not allowed on the assignment.	Faculty Decision	Student received a "0" for the exam.

UNAUTHORIZED COLLABORATION
Honor Code Definitions II. C. (4)

Offering for course credit work prepared in collaboration with another, unless the student secures the instructor's permission in advance of submission. A student does not prepare work in collaboration with another if he or she merely discusses with another a matter relevant to the work in question.

Fall 2020	Student submitted for credit in Math your final exam which was substantially similar to that submitted by another student and collaboration was not allowed on the assignment.	Faculty Decision	Student received a "0" for the exam.
Fall 2020	Student submitted for credit in Mechanical Engineering your final exam which contained a high degree of similarity with those of other students and collaboration was not allowed on the assignment.	Faculty Decision	Student received a "0" for the final exam.
Fall 2020	Student submitted for credit in Mechanical Engineering your final exam which contained a high degree of similarity with those of other students and collaboration was not allowed on the assignment.	Faculty Decision	Student received a "0" for the final exam.
Fall 2020	Student submitted for credit in Mechanical Engineering your final exam which contained a high degree of similarity with those of other students and collaboration was not allowed on the assignment.	Faculty Decision	Student received a "0" for the final exam.
Fall 2020	Student submitted for credit in your final exam which contained a high degree of similarity with those of other students and collaboration was not allowed on the assignment.	Faculty Decision	Student received a "0" for the final exam.
Fall 2020	Student submitted for credit in Mechanical Engineering your final exam which contained a high degree of similarity with those of other students and collaboration was not allowed on the assignment.	Faculty Decision	Student received a "0" for the final exam.
Fall 2020	Student submitted for credit in Music a final exam that was substantially similar to another student's work and collaboration was not allowed on the assignment.	Honor Council Hearing - (2nd offense Automatic Referral)	The violation was reported to the Office of Academic Integrity.
Fall 2020	Student submitted for credit in Music a final exam that was substantially similar to another student's work and collaboration was not allowed on the assignment.	Honor Council Hearing - (2nd offense Automatic Referral)	The violation was reported to the Office of Academic Integrity.
Summer 2020	Student submitted a midterm exam for credit in Public	Faculty	Received a "0" for the exam.

UNAUTHORIZED COLLABORATION
Honor Code Definitions II. C. (4)
Offering for course credit work prepared in collaboration with another, unless the student secures the instructor's permission in advance of submission. A student does not prepare work in collaboration with another if he or she merely discusses with another a matter relevant to the work in question.

	Health that was nearly identical in format, style, and all answers, including incorrect answers, to that of another student.		
Summer 2020	Student submitted a midterm exam for credit in Public Health that was nearly identical in format, style, and all answers, including incorrect answers, to that of another student.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the exam.
Summer 2020	Student submitted work for credit in an online exam in Mathematics, some of which was identical to that submitted later by another student, including a number of unusual errors, indicating the student shared her work with the other student or the students collaborated on the assignment without permission of the professor.	Honor Council	Received a zero on the exam in question without the ability to use the final exam to replace the score of the exam in which the violation occurred.
Summer 2020	Student submitted work for credit in an online exam in Mathematics, some of which was identical to that submitted earlier by another student, including a number of unusual errors, indicating the student used the work of the other student or the students collaborated on the assignment without permission of the professor.	Honor Council	Received a zero on the exam in question without the ability to use the final exam to replace the score of the exam in which the violation occurred.
Summer 2020	Student conspired with other students in Psychology to collaborate on quizzes without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" for all quizzes for the unit and the lowest grade for the midterm associated with the unit.
Summer 2020	Student conspired with other students in Psychology to collaborate on quizzes without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" for all quizzes for the unit and the lowest grade for the midterm associated with the unit.
Summer 2020	Student conspired with other students in Psychology to collaborate on quizzes without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" for all quizzes for the unit and the lowest grade for the midterm associated with the unit.
Summer 2020	Student conspired with other students in Psychology to collaborate on quizzes without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" for all quizzes for the unit and the lowest grade for the midterm associated with the unit.

UNAUTHORIZED COLLABORATION
Honor Code Definitions II. C. (4)

Offering for course credit work prepared in collaboration with another, unless the student secures the instructor's permission in advance of submission. A student does not prepare work in collaboration with another if he or she merely discusses with another a matter relevant to the work in question.

Summer 2020	Student conspired with other students in Psychology to collaborate on quizzes without the permission of the professor.	Honor Council	Received a "0" for all quizzes for the unit and the lowest grade for the midterm associated with the unit.
Summer 2020	Student conspired with other students in Psychology to collaborate on quizzes without the permission of the professor.	Honor Council	Received a "0" for all quizzes for the unit and the lowest grade for the midterm associated with the unit.
Summer 2020	Student conspired with other students in Psychology to collaborate on quizzes without the permission of the professor.	Honor Council	NOT GUILTY
Summer 2020	Student conspired with other students in Psychology to collaborate on quizzes without the permission of the professor.	Honor Council	Received a "0" for all quizzes for the unit and the lowest grade for the midterm associated with the unit.
Spring 2020	Student submitted a paper for credit in English containing passages taken from the work of another without properly identifying said passages or crediting the original author(s). In addition, student sought and received help from a student at another university, and collaboration on the assignment was not authorized by the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the paper and required to rewrite the paper using help only from the professor or the University Writing Center. The new grade will replace the "0".
Spring 2020	While working on a lab assignment in Mechanical Engineering, student shared computer screens with another student and shared code without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2020	While working on a lab assignment in Mechanical Engineering, student shared computer screens with another student and shared code without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2020	Student either used the work of another student for an exam in Physics or collaborated on the exam with the other student without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the exam.
Spring 2020	Student either made work for an exam in Physics available to another student or collaborated on the exam with the other student without the permission of	Honor Council (2nd offense Automatic Referral)	Received a 0 on the exam. Received an F in course due to this being a second violation and not afforded the pass/fail option for this course.

UNAUTHORIZED COLLABORATION
Honor Code Definitions II. C. (4)
Offering for course credit work prepared in collaboration with another, unless the student secures the instructor's permission in advance of submission. A student does not prepare work in collaboration with another if he or she merely discusses with another a matter relevant to the work in question.

	the professor.		
Spring 2020	During an exam in Philosophy, student shared answers with another student and collaboration was not allowed on the exam.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the exam.
Spring 2020	During an exam in Philosophy, student shared answers with another student and collaboration was not allowed on the exam.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the exam.
Spring 2020	Student submitted work for an online exam in Mathematics that was significantly similar, including content, page layout, formatting, and the same unique incorrect answers, to that of another student.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the exam.
Spring 2020	Student submitted work for an online exam in Mathematics that was significantly similar, including content, page layout, formatting, and the same unique incorrect answers, to that of another student.	Honor Council (2nd offense Automatic Referral)	Pending
Spring 2020	Student submitted the final exam for credit in Great Texts containing significant similarities to the work of another student, including 11 incorrect answers (out of 25 questions) with the same misspelled words.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the final exam.
Spring 2020	Student, in violation of instructions from the professor, collaborated with another student while taking the online final exam for Baylor Interdisciplinary Core. In addition, student accessed unauthorized resources to research answers and prepare essays on the final exam.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the final exam.
Spring 2020	Student submitted the final exam for credit in Great Texts containing significant similarities to the work of another student, including 11 incorrect answers (out of 25 questions) with the same misspelled words.	Honor Council (2nd offense Automatic Referral)	Received a "0" for the final exam and suspended for two semesters.
Spring 2020	Student, in violation of instructions from the professor, collaborated with another student while taking the online final exam for Baylor Interdisciplinary Core. In addition, student accessed unauthorized resources to	Honor Council (2nd offense Automatic Referral)	Received a "0" for the final exam and not be afforded the pass/fail option. Received a oneyear probation with assignments to complete.

UNAUTHORIZED COLLABORATION
Honor Code Definitions II. C. (4)
Offering for course credit work prepared in collaboration with another, unless the student secures the instructor's permission in advance of submission. A student does not prepare work in collaboration with another if he or she merely discusses with another a matter relevant to the work in question.

	research answers and prepare essays on the final exam.		
Spring 2020	During 4 exams in Music student worked in collaboration with another student without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a twoletter grade reduction in the final grade for the course.
Spring 2020	During 4 exams in Music student worked in collaboration with another student without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a two letter grade reduction in the final grade for the course.
Spring 2020	Student was seen accessing a phone, talking with other students and taking screen shots of the exam during an online exam in Psychology.	Honor Council	Received a "0" for the exam. Not afforded the pass/fail option for the course.
Spring 2020	Student was seen accessing a phone, talking with other students and taking screen shots of the exam during an online exam in Psychology.	Honor Council	Received a "0" for the exam. Not afforded the pass/fail option for the course.
Spring 2020	Student was seen accessing a phone, talking with other students and taking screen shots of the exam during an online exam in Psychology.	Honor Council	Received a "0" for the exam. Not afforded the pass/fail option for the course.
Spring 2020	Student was seen accessing a phone, talking with other students and taking screen shots of the exam during an online exam in Psychology.	Honor Council (2nd offense Automatic Referral)	Received a "0" for the exam, an "F" in course and suspension for one semester. Not allowed Pass/Fail option for the course.
Fall 2019	Student submitted work on the final exam for credit in Electrical and Computer Engineering that was almost identical to that of another student, and the work was to be completed without communicating with another person in any form.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the final exam.
Fall 2019	Student submitted work on the final exam for credit in Electrical and Computer Engineering that was almost identical to that of another student and substantially similar to that of a third student. The work was to be completed without communicating with another person in any form.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the final exam.
Fall 2019	Student submitted work on the final exam for credit in Electrical and Computer Engineering that was almost	Faculty	Received a "0" for the final exam.

UNAUTHORIZED COLLABORATION
Honor Code Definitions II. C. (4)

Offering for course credit work prepared in collaboration with another, unless the student secures the instructor's permission in advance of submission. A student does not prepare work in collaboration with another if he or she merely discusses with another a matter relevant to the work in question.

	identical to that of another student, and the work was to be completed without communicating with another person in any form.		
Fall 2019	Student submitted work on the final exam for credit in Electrical and Computer Engineering that was substantially similar to that of two other students. The work was to be completed without communicating with another person in any form.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the final exam.
Fall 2019	Student submitted work on the final exam for credit in Electrical and Computer Engineering that was almost identical to that of another student and substantially similar to that of a third student. The work was to be completed without communicating with another person in any form.	Honor Council	NOT GUILTY
Summer 2019	None.		
Spring 2019	Student submitted for credit in Philosophy a takehome exam with a degree of similarity to the exam of another student indicating that student copied the work of the other student, collaborated on the exam with another student and/or shared information about the exam with another student, and collaboration was not allowed by the professor.	Faculty	Exam score reduced by 50%.
Spring 2019	Student submitted for credit in Philosophy a takehome exam with a degree of similarity to the exam of another student indicating that student copied the work of the other student, collaborated on the exam with another student and/or shared information about the exam with another student, and collaboration was not allowed by the professor.	Faculty	Exam score reduced by 50%.
Spring 2019	Student received help from another student while working on a take home test for Philosophy that was to be completed independently.	Faculty	Required to retake a different version of the exam with an automatic 20% reduction in the grade.
Spring 2019	Student assisted another student during a takehome	Faculty	Required to retake a different version of the test with an

UNAUTHORIZED COLLABORATION
Honor Code Definitions II. C. (4)
Offering for course credit work prepared in collaboration with another, unless the student secures the instructor's permission in advance of submission. A student does not prepare work in collaboration with another if he or she merely discusses with another a matter relevant to the work in question.

	test in Philosophy that was to be completed independently.		automatic 20% reduction in the grade.
Spring 2019	Student submitted work on a project for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to that of several other students.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2019	Student submitted work on a project for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to that of several other students.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2019	Student submitted work on a project for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to that of several other students.	Honor Council	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2019	Student submitted work on a project for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to that of several other students.	Faculty	No grade penalty since student dropped the course. However, the matter was reported to the Office of Academic Integrity.
Spring 2019	Student submitted work identical to that of another student for a homework assignment in Mechanical Engineering.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment and a partial letter grade reduction for the course.
Spring 2019	Student submitted work identical to that of another student for a homework assignment in Mechanical Engineering.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment and a partial letter grade reduction for the course.
Spring 2019	Student shared answers with another student or worked together with another student on the final exam in Political Science without the permission of the professor.	Honor Council (2nd offense Automatic Referral)	Received an "F" for the course.
Spring 2019	Student shared answers with another student or worked together with another student on the final exam in Political Science without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Was required to retake the exam.
Spring 2019	On several occasions student shared work with other students on assignments that were to be completed individually for credit in Computer Science.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the programming assignment category.
Spring 2019	Student submitted for credit in Philosophy a takehome exam with a degree of similarity to the exam of	Faculty	Exam score reduced by 50%.

UNAUTHORIZED COLLABORATION
Honor Code Definitions II. C. (4)
Offering for course credit work prepared in collaboration with another, unless the student secures the instructor's permission in advance of submission. A student does not prepare work in collaboration with another if he or she merely discusses with another a matter relevant to the work in question.

	another student that would indicate that student collaborated on the exam with another student and/or shared information about the exam with another student, and collaboration was not allowed by the professor.		
Spring 2019	Student submitted for credit in Philosophy a takehome exam with a degree of similarity to the exam of another student that would indicate that student collaborated on the exam with another student and/or shared information about the exam with another student, and collaboration was not allowed by the professor.	Faculty	Exam score reduced by 50%.
Spring 2019	Student submitted for credit in Philosophy a takehome exam with a degree of similarity to the exam of another student that would indicate that student collaborated on the exam with another student or students and/or shared information about the exam with another student or students, and collaboration was not allowed by the professor.	Faculty	Exam score reduced by 50%.
Fall 2018	Student shared code with another student in Computer Science and submitted work while logged into the turnin site for the course as the other student.	Honor Council	Received a warning.
Fall 2018	Student shared code with another student in Computer Science and allowed another student to submit work while logged into the turnin site for the course as student.	Honor Council	Received a warning.
Fall 2018	For credit in Computer Science, student submitted programs that were too similar to those of another student to have been accomplished without unauthorized collaboration on the assignments.	Honor Council	Received "0" for the assignments that showed a 40% or more similarity.
Fall 2018	For credit in Computer Science, student submitted programs that were too similar to those of another student to have been accomplished without	Honor Council	Received "0" for the assignments that showed a 40% or more similarity.

Offering for course credit work prepared in collaboration with another, unless the student secures the instructor's permission in advance of submission. A student does not prepare work in collaboration with another if he or she merely discusses with another a matter relevant to the work in question.

	unauthorized collaboration on the assignments.		
Fall 2018	Student submitted a takehome exam for credit in Philosophy containing answer(s) obtained from another student, and collaboration on the assignment was not allowed.	Faculty	Received a "0" for each of the relevant questions.
Fall 2018	Student gave assistance to another student on a takehome exam in Philosophy, and collaboration on the assignment was not allowed.	Faculty	Received a "0" for each of the relevant questions.
Fall 2018	Student submitted a take home quiz for credit in Political Science containing three unique similarities to the quiz of another student indicating that the student collaborated with another student on the assignment without the permission of the professor or, in the alternative, that student submitted the work of another student as student's own or allowed another student to submit student's work as his/her own.	Faculty	Received a score of "0" on the quiz and may not use a handwritten study guide on the final exam.
Fall 2018	Student submitted a take home quiz for credit in Political Science containing three unique similarities to the quiz of another student indicating that the student collaborated with another student on the assignment without the permission of the professor or, in the alternative, that student submitted the work of another student as student's own or allowed another student to submit student's work as his/her own.	Faculty	Received a score of "0" on the quiz and may not use a handwritten study guide on the final exam.
Fall 2018	Student worked on a Physics exam problem with another student without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received 50% credit for the problem.
Fall 2018	Student worked on a Physics exam problem with another student without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received 50% credit for the problem.
Summer 2018	None.		
Spring 2018	Student submitted work for credit in Computer Science which was substantially the same as that of another	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.

UNAUTHORIZED COLLABORATION
Honor Code Definitions II. C. (4)
Offering for course credit work prepared in collaboration with another, unless the student secures the instructor's permission in advance of submission. A student does not prepare work in collaboration with another if he or she merely discusses with another a matter relevant to the work in question.

	student, and collaboration was not allowed on the work.		
Spring 2018	Student submitted work for credit in Computer Science which was substantially the same as that of another student, and collaboration was not allowed on the work.	Faculty	CHARGE DROPPED.
Spring 2018	Student submitted a case study for credit in Apparel Design and Merchandising that student had prepared in collaboration with another student without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a 50% deduction on the grade for the assignment.
Spring 2018	Student submitted a case study for credit in Apparel Design and Merchandising that student had prepared in collaboration with another student without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a 50% deduction on the grade for the assignment.
Fall 2017	Student submitted for credit in Neuroscience an assignment on which student collaborated with another student without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Fall 2017	Student submitted for credit in Neuroscience an assignment on which student collaborated with another student without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Summer 2017	None.		
Spring 2017	Student submitted program 1 for credit in Computer Science a portion of which had an 83% match to that of another student, having collaborated with the other student on the assignment without the permission of the professor.	Honor Council (2nd offense Automatic Referral)	Received an "F" for the class. Any further violation will result in automatic suspension.
Spring 2017	Student submitted program 1 for credit in Computer Science a portion of which had an 83% match to that of another student, having collaborated with the other student on the assignment without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.

UNAUTHORIZED COLLABORATION
Honor Code Definitions II. C. (4)

Offering for course credit work prepared in collaboration with another, unless the student secures the instructor's permission in advance of submission. A student does not prepare work in collaboration with another if he or she merely discusses with another a matter relevant to the work in question.

Spring 2017	Student submitted for credit in Engineering a computer based exam containing solutions to several MATLAB problems that were almost identical to those of another student, indicating that student submitted the work of another as student's own, allowed another to submit student's work as his/her own, and/or collaborated with another student on the assignment without the permission of the instructor.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the exam.
Spring 2017	Student submitted for credit in Engineering a computer based exam containing solutions to several MATLAB problems that were almost identical to those of another student, indicating that student submitted the work of another as student's own, allowed another to submit student's work as his/her own, and/or collaborated with another student on the assignment without the permission of the instructor.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the exam.
Spring 2017	Student collaborated with another student, without the permission of the professor, on two assignments in Computer Science.	Faculty	Student received an "F" for the course.
Spring 2017	Student submitted a lab report for credit in Psychology which student had prepared in collaboration with another student without the permission of the professor. In addition, student included an abstract from a journal article as an answer on the lab report without properly identifying the portion used or crediting the original author(s).	Faculty	Received a "0" for the lab report.
Spring 2017	Student submitted a lab report for credit in Psychology which student had prepared in collaboration with another student without the permission of the professor. In addition, student included an abstract from a journal article as an answer on the lab report without properly identifying the portion used or crediting the original author(s).	Honor Council (2nd offense Automatic Referral)	Received a "0" for the lab report and required to complete a reflection journal.

UNAUTHORIZED COLLABORATION
Honor Code Definitions II. C. (4)

Offering for course credit work prepared in collaboration with another, unless the student secures the instructor's permission in advance of submission. A student does not prepare work in collaboration with another if he or she merely discusses with another a matter relevant to the work in question.

Spring 2017	Student submitted a homework assignment for credit in Mechanical Engineering containing a program that was almost identical to that submitted by another student, having collaborated on the assignment with that student without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the entire homework assignment equivalent to 1.78% of the grade.
Spring 2017	Student submitted a homework assignment for credit in Mechanical Engineering containing a program that was almost identical to that submitted by another student having collaborated on the assignment with that student without the permission of the professor.	Honor Council (2nd offense Automatic Referral)	Received a "0" for the entire homework assignment equivalent to 1.78% of the grade. Additional sanction to complete a required Reflection Journal.
Fall 2016	Student submitted a lab sheet for credit in Human Performance which was the same as that of another student, having either offered the work of another as student's own or having collaborated with the other student on the assignment without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Fall 2016	Student submitted a lab sheet for credit in Human Performance which was the same as that of another student, having either offered the work of another as student's own or having collaborated with the other student on the assignment without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Fall 2016	Student submitted programs 16, 17 and 18 for credit in Computer Science which were substantially the same (90% or greater) as that of another student, having either offered the work of the other student as student's own or having collaborated with the other student on the assignment without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2016	Student submitted programs 10, 11, and 18 for credit in Computer Science which were substantially the same as that of another student, having either offered the work of the other student as student's own or	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.

UNAUTHORIZED COLLABORATION
Honor Code Definitions II. C. (4)
Offering for course credit work prepared in collaboration with another, unless the student secures the instructor's permission in advance of submission. A student does not prepare work in collaboration with another if he or she merely discusses with another a matter relevant to the work in question.

	having collaborated with the other student on the assignment without the permission of the professor.		
Fall 2016	Student submitted programs 10, 11, and 18 for credit in Computer Science which were substantially the same as that of another student, having either offered the work of the other student as student's own or having collaborated with the other student on the assignment without the permission of the professor. In addition, student received help from a friend who was not in the class in violation of class policy.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2016	Student submitted programs 12 and 13 for credit in Computer Science which were substantially the same as that of another student, having either offered the work of the other student as student's own or having collaborated with the other student on the assignment without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course. Since student had withdrawn from the course, student was reinstated to the course and assigned the "F" student would otherwise have received.
Fall 2016	In violation of the professor's limits for allowable collaboration, student copied Excel files from or shared files with another student for four separate assignments in Accounting. Student also shared a file with a third student on a separate assignment. Additionally, student altered the formatting of all the copied/shared files in an attempt to hide the misconduct. Finally, student allowed a fourth student to copy homework.	Faculty	At least a half letter grade was deducted from final grade for the course.
Fall 2016	Student copied Excel files from or shared files with another student for an individual assignment in Accounting. In addition, the formatting of all the copied/shared files was altered in an attempt to hide the misconduct.	Faculty	At least a half letter grade was deducted from final grade for the course.
Fall 2016	Student submitted a take home exam for credit in Mathematics that was supposed to be completed individually but was nearly identical work to that of	Faculty	Received a "0" for the exam.

UNAUTHORIZED COLLABORATION
Honor Code Definitions II. C. (4)
Offering for course credit work prepared in collaboration with another, unless the student secures the instructor's permission in advance of submission. A student does not prepare work in collaboration with another if he or she merely discusses with another a matter relevant to the work in question.

	two other students.		
Fall 2016	Student submitted a take home exam for credit in Mathematics that was supposed to be completed individually but was nearly identical work to that of two other students.	Faculty	Received a "0' for the exam.
Fall 2016	Student submitted a take home exam for credit in Mathematics that was supposed to be completed individually but was nearly identical work to that of two other students.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the exam.
Fall 2016	Student copied Excel files from or shared files with another student for an individual assignment in Accounting. In addition, the formatting of all the copied/shared files was altered in an attempt to hide the misconduct.	Faculty	At least a half letter grade was deducted from final grade for the course.
Summer 2016	None.		
Spring 2016	For credit in Computer Science, student submitted a project containing a high percentage of similarity to that submitted by another student, indicating that the work was not entirely student's work or that the work was done in collaboration with the other student without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Spring 2016	Student submitted an assignment for credit in Theater that was supposed to be completed individually but was nearly identical work to that of two other students, indicating collaboration with those students on the assignment without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2016	Student submitted an assignment for credit in Theater that was supposed to be completed individually but was nearly identical work to that of two other students, indicating collaboration with those students on the assignment without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment.

UNAUTHORIZED COLLABORATION
Honor Code Definitions II. C. (4)
Offering for course credit work prepared in collaboration with another, unless the student secures the instructor's permission in advance of submission. A student does not prepare work in collaboration with another if he or she merely discusses with another a matter relevant to the work in question.

Spring 2016	Student submitted an assignment for credit in Theater that was supposed to be completed individually but was nearly identical work to that of two other students, indicating collaboration with those students on the assignment without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment.
Spring 2016	Student submitted a programming assignment for credit in Computer Science containing the same code as that of another student indicating student collaborated on the assignment without the permission of the professor.	Honor Council	Received an "F" for the course.
Spring 2016	Student submitted a programming assignment for credit in Computer Science containing the same code as that of another student indicating he collaborated on the assignment without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Spring 2016	Student shared work on a case study for Quantitative Business Analysis with another student in violation of instructions given by the professor that the case study was an individual assignment.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2016	Student shared work on a case study for Quantitative Business Analysis with another student in violation of instructions given by the professor that the case study was an individual assignment.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2016	Student submitted the work of another student as student's own on a case study assignment for credit in Quantitative Business Analysis in violation of instructions from the professor that the case study was to be considered an individual assignment.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2016	Student requested work on a case study for Quantitative Business Analysis from other students in violation of instructions given by the professor that the case study was an individual assignment.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment.

UNAUTHORIZED COLLABORATION
Honor Code Definitions II. C. (4)
Offering for course credit work prepared in collaboration with another, unless the student secures the instructor's permission in advance of submission. A student does not prepare work in collaboration with another if he or she merely discusses with another a matter relevant to the work in question.

Spring 2016	Student shared work on a case study for Quantitative Business Analysis with another student in violation of instructions given by the professor that the case study was an individual assignment.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2016	Student shared work on a case study for Quantitative Business Analysis with another student in violation of instructions given by the professor that the case study was an individual assignment.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2016	Student shared work on a case study for Quantitative Business Analysis with another student in violation of instructions given by the professor that the case study was an individual assignment. In addition, when questioned by the professor about the incident, student said student had not collaborated on the assignment when student knew student had sent the files for the assignment to another student.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment.
Spring 2016	On three exams in Anthropology student submitted identical responses to other students in the class indicating collaboration on the exams without the professor's permission.	Faculty	Student received "0" for each of the exams but may retake them.
Spring 2016	On three exams in Anthropology student submitted identical responses to other students in the class indicating collaboration on the exams without the professor's permission.	Faculty	Student received "0" for each of the exams but may retake them.
Spring 2016	On three exams in Anthropology, student submitted identical responses to other students in the class indicating collaboration on the exams without the professor's permission.	Faculty	Student received "0" for each of the exams but may retake them.
Spring 2016	On three exams in Anthropology student submitted identical responses to other students in the class indicating collaboration on the exams without the professor's permission.	Faculty	Student received "0" for each of the exams but may retake them.
Fall 2015	Student submitted two assignments for credit in	Faculty	Received a "0" for each assignment.

UNAUTHORIZED COLLABORATION
Honor Code Definitions II. C. (4)
Offering for course credit work prepared in collaboration with another, unless the student secures the instructor's permission in advance of submission. A student does not prepare work in collaboration with another if he or she merely discusses with another a matter relevant to the work in question.

	Teacher Education which were prepared in collaboration with another student without permission from the professor.		
Fall 2015	Student submitted two assignments for credit in Teacher Education which were prepared in collaboration with another student without permission from the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" for each assignment.
Fall 2015	Student submitted an assignment for credit in Mechanical Engineering containing the same file as that of another student, having either offered the work of another as student's own or having collaborated with the other student beyond what was allowed by the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Fall 2015	Student submitted an assignment for credit in Mechanical Engineering containing the same file as that of another student, having either offered the work of another as student's own or having collaborated with the other student beyond what was allowed by the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Fall 2015	Student submitted a programming assignment for credit in Computer Science significantly similar to that of a student in a different section of the course, indicating collaboration with that student on the assignment without the permission of the professor.	Honor Council	Received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2015	Student submitted a programming assignment for credit in Computer Science containing identical work to that of another student, indicating collaboration with that student on the assignment without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2015	Student submitted a programming assignment for credit in Computer Science significantly similar to that of another student, indicating collaboration with that student on the assignment without the permission of	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.

UNAUTHORIZED COLLABORATION
Honor Code Definitions II. C. (4)
Offering for course credit work prepared in collaboration with another, unless the student secures the instructor's permission in advance of submission. A student does not prepare work in collaboration with another if he or she merely discusses with another a matter relevant to the work in question.

	the professor.		
Fall 2015	Student submitted a programming assignment for credit in Computer Science significantly similar to that of another student, having collaborated with that student on the assignment without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2015	On two occasions student submitted assignments for credit in English containing identical work to that of another student, indicating collaboration with that student on the assignments without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" for both assignments.
Fall 2015	On two occasions student submitted assignments for credit in English containing identical work to that of another student, indicating collaboration with that student on the assignments without the permission of the professor.	Honor Council (2nd offense Automatic Referral)	Initially received a "0" for both assignments. Received an "F" for the course as additional sanction.
Fall 2015	On or about December 6, 2015, student submitted an assignment for credit in Nursing containing nearly identical work to that of another student, indicating collaboration with that student on the assignment without the permission of the professor.	Honor Council (2nd offense Automatic Referral)	Received a "0" for the assignment. Received a permanent "F" for the course. Immediate suspension for three semesters.
Fall 2015	Student submitted an assignment for credit in Nursing containing nearly identical work to that of another student, indicating collaboration with that student on the assignment without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment
Summer 2015	None.		
Spring 2015	For credit in Computer Science student submitted code containing a high percentage of similarity to that submitted by another student, and collaboration was not authorized by the professor on the assignment."	Honor Council	Received an "F" for the course.
Spring 2015	For credit in Computer Science student submitted code containing a high percentage of similarity to that	Honor Council	Received an "F" for the course.

UNAUTHORIZED COLLABORATION
Honor Code Definitions II. C. (4)
Offering for course credit work prepared in collaboration with another, unless the student secures the instructor's permission in advance of submission. A student does not prepare work in collaboration with another if he or she merely discusses with another a matter relevant to the work in question.

	submitted by another student, and collaboration was not authorized by the professor on the assignment.		
Spring 2015	Student submitted a semester paper for credit in Religion which too closely paralleled that submitted by another student to be coincidental, and permission to collaborate with other students on the assignment was not obtained prior to the submission of the paper.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the paper with the possibility of a doover at a later date.
Spring 2015	Student assisted two other students on a takehome exam in Physics without the professor's permission. Solutions submitted by student and the other two students were nearly identical.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the exam.
Spring 2015	Student received assistance from another student on a takehome exam in Physics without the professor's permission. Solutions submitted by student and the other student were nearly identical.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the exam.
Spring 2015	Student received assistance from another student on a takehome exam in Physics without the professor's permission. Solutions submitted by student and the other student were nearly identical.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the exam.
Fall 2014	Student worked with two other students on a homework problem submitted for credit in Physics without the professor's permission.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the problem.
Fall 2014	Student worked with two other students on a homework problem submitted for credit in Physics without the professor's permission.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the problem.
Fall 2014	Student submitted 2 programming assignments for credit in Computer Science containing identical or nearly identical work to that of another student, indicating collaboration with that student on the assignments without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received an "F" for both assignments.
Fall 2014	Student submitted 2 programming assignments for credit in Computer Science containing identical or nearly identical work to that of another student,	Honor Council *(2nd offense Automatic Referral)*	Received an "F" for both assignments. Suspended for 2 semesters. Student must pass TOEFL before returning.

UNAUTHORIZED COLLABORATION

Honor Code Definitions II. C. (4)

Offering for course credit work prepared in collaboration with another, unless the student secures the instructor's permission in advance of submission. A student does not prepare work in collaboration with another if he or she merely discusses with another a matter relevant to the work in question.

	indicating collaboration with that student on the assignments without the permission of the professor.		
Fall 2014	Student submitted a programming assignment for credit in Computer Science containing identical work to that of another student, indicating collaboration with that student on the assignment without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2014	Student submitted a paper for credit in Nursing more than 25% of which was identical to that of another student, indicating that student shared work or collaborated with the other student on the assignment without the permission of the professor.	Honor Council	NOT GUILTY
Fall 2014	Student submitted a paper for credit in Nursing more than 25% of which was identical to that of another student, indicating that student shared work or collaborated with the other student on the assignment without the permission of the professor.	Honor Council	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Fall 2014	Student submitted a Health History Assessment for credit in Nursing which was nearly identical to that of another student, even though Student had a different client, indicating that Student used the work of the other student or collaborated with the other student on the assignment without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment and a 10% deduction from percentage grade for violation of professional behaviors.
Fall 2014	Student submitted a Health History Assessment for credit in Nursing which was nearly identical to that of another student, even though Student had a different client, indicating that Student used the work of the other student or collaborated with the other student on the assignment without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment and a 10% deduction from percentage grade for violation of professional behaviors.
Fall 2014	Student submitted a Health History Assessment for credit in Nursing which was nearly identical to that of	Honor Council	NOT GUILTY

UNAUTHORIZED COLLABORATION
Honor Code Definitions II. C. (4)
Offering for course credit work prepared in collaboration with another, unless the student secures the instructor's permission in advance of submission. A student does not prepare work in collaboration with another if he or she merely discusses with another a matter relevant to the work in question.

	another student, even though Student had a different client, indicating that Student used the work of the other student or collaborated with the other student on the assignment without the permission of the professor.		
Fall 2014	Student submitted at least 6 program files for credit in Computer Science which shared significant similarities with files submitted by another student, indicating that student shared work or collaborated with the other student on the assignments without the permission of the professor.	Honor Council	Received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2014	Student submitted at least 6 program files for credit in Computer Science which shared significant similarities with files submitted by another student, indicating that student shared work or collaborated with the other student on the assignments without the permission of the professor.	Honor Council	Received an "F" for the course.
Summer 2014	None.		
Spring 2014	Over the course of 5 different projects submitted for credit in Computer Science, student submitted computer code which was either prepared through unauthorized collaboration with another student or was, in whole or in part, the work of another student.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Spring 2014	Over the course of 5 different projects submitted for credit in Computer Science, student submitted computer code which was either prepared through unauthorized collaboration with another student or was, in whole or in part, the work of another student.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Spring 2014	Student submitted for credit in Mechanical Engineering Homework #5 which was either prepared through unauthorized collaboration with another student or was, in whole or in part, the work of another student.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the homework assignment.
Spring 2014	Student submitted for credit in Mechanical Engineering	Faculty	Received a "0" for the homework assignment.

UNAUTHORIZED COLLABORATION
Honor Code Definitions II. C. (4)
Offering for course credit work prepared in collaboration with another, unless the student secures the instructor's permission in advance of submission. A student does not prepare work in collaboration with another if he or she merely discusses with another a matter relevant to the work in question.

	Homework #5 which was either prepared through unauthorized collaboration with another student or was, in whole or in part, the work of another student.		
Spring 2014	Student submitted for credit in Engineering an exam containing solutions that were identical in every respect to those of another student indicating that, without the permission of the professor, student prepared the work in collaboration with the other student, submitted the work of the other student as student's own, or allowed that student to submit student's work as his/her own.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the exam.
Spring 2014	Student submitted a take home final exam for credit in Sociology/Anthropology containing answers to problems that student had discussed with another student in spite of having signed a statement agreeing that student would not receive help from classmates on the exam.	Faculty	Received no credit for the problems in question.
Spring 2014	Student submitted a take home final exam for credit in Sociology/Anthropology containing answers to problems that student had discussed with another student in spite of having signed a statement agreeing that student would not receive help from classmates on the exam.	Faculty	Received no credit for the problems in question.
Fall 2013	Student submitted a lab report for credit in Biology containing identical work to that of three other students, having collaborated with other students on the assignment without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Lost points for the incorrect answers.
Fall 2013	Student submitted a lab report for credit in Biology containing identical work to that of three other students, having collaborated with other students on the assignment without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Lost points for the incorrect answers.

UNAUTHORIZED COLLABORATION
Honor Code Definitions II. C. (4)
Offering for course credit work prepared in collaboration with another, unless the student secures the instructor's permission in advance of submission. A student does not prepare work in collaboration with another if he or she merely discusses with another a matter relevant to the work in question.

Fall 2013	Student submitted a lab report for credit in Biology containing identical work to that of three other students, having collaborated with other students on the assignment without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Lost points for the incorrect answers.
Fall 2013	Student submitted a lab report for credit in Biology containing identical work to that of three other students, having collaborated with other students on the assignment without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Lost points for the incorrect answers.
Fall 2013	Over the course of 4 different projects submitted for credit in Computer Science, and on 6 files within those projects, student submitted programming code which was either prepared through unauthorized collaboration with another student or was, in whole or in part, the work of another student.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2013	Over the course of 4 different projects submitted for credit in Computer Science, and on 6 files within those projects, student submitted programming code which was either prepared through unauthorized collaboration with another student or was, in whole or in part, the work of another student.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Summer 2013	None.		
Spring 2013	Student was observed talking with and looking at the tests of other students without permission during a quiz in Sociology.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the quiz.
Spring 2013	Student was observed talking with and looking at the tests of other students without permission during a quiz in Sociology.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the quiz.
Spring 2013	Student was observed talking with and looking at the tests of other students without permission during a quiz in Sociology.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the quiz.
Spring 2013	Student was observed talking with and looking at the	Faculty	Received a "0" for the quiz.

UNAUTHORIZED COLLABORATION
Honor Code Definitions II. C. (4)
Offering for course credit work prepared in collaboration with another, unless the student secures the instructor's permission in advance of submission. A student does not prepare work in collaboration with another if he or she merely discusses with another a matter relevant to the work in question.

	tests of other students without permission during a quiz in Sociology.		
Spring 2013	Student used code from an external source and collaborated with 2 other students without the permission of the professor on a takehome exam in Computer Science.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Spring 2013	Student used code from an external source and collaborated with 2 other students without the permission of the professor on a takehome exam in Computer Science.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Spring 2013	Student used code from an external source and collaborated with 2 other students without the permission of the professor on a takehome exam in Computer Science.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Spring 2013	Student worked with another student on a takehome exam for credit in Statistics in violation of explicit instructions from the professor that the exam was to be "closedbook, closednote, no collaboration."	Faculty	Received a "0" on the exam.
Spring 2013	Student worked with another student on a takehome exam for credit in Statistics in violation of explicit instructions from the professor that the exam was to be "closedbook, closednote, no collaboration."	Faculty	Received a "0" on the exam.
Spring 2013	Student submitted for credit in Family and Consumer Sciences portfolio assignments that student had prepared in collaboration with another student without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the exam.
Spring 2013	Student submitted for credit in Theater Arts an assignment prepared in collaboration with another student without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received an "F" on the assignment.
Spring 2013	Student submitted for credit in Theater Arts an assignment prepared in collaboration with another student without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received an "F" on the assignment.
Fall 2012	Student submitted for credit in Mechanical Engineering	Faculty	Received a "0" for the homework assignment.

UNAUTHORIZED COLLABORATION
Honor Code Definitions II. C. (4)
Offering for course credit work prepared in collaboration with another, unless the student secures the instructor's permission in advance of submission. A student does not prepare work in collaboration with another if he or she merely discusses with another a matter relevant to the work in question.

	homework that was nearly identical to that of another student indicating that, in violation of the professor's instructions, student prepared the homework in collaboration with the other student. In addition, or in the alternative, student submitted the work of the other student as student's own or allowed that student to submit student's work as his/her own.		
Fall 2012	Student submitted for credit in Mechanical Engineering homework that was nearly identical to that of another student indicating that, in violation of the professor's instructions, student prepared the homework in collaboration with the other student. In addition, or in the alternative, student submitted the work of the other student as student's own or allowed that student to submit student's work as his/her own.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the homework assignment.
Fall 2012	Student submitted for credit in Neuroscience work containing portions the same as that of another student indicating that, without the permission of the professor, student prepared the work in collaboration with the other student. In addition, or in the alternative, student submitted the work of the other student as student's own or allowed that student to submit your work as his/her own.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment.
Fall 2012	Student submitted for credit in Neuroscience work containing portions the same as that of another student indicating that, without the permission of the professor, student prepared the work in collaboration with the other student. In addition, or in the alternative, student submitted the work of the other student as student's own or allowed that student to submit your work as his/her own.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment.
Summer 2012	None.		
Spring 2012	Student submitted for credit in Accounting a project	Faculty	Received a "0" on the project and received a one letter

UNAUTHORIZED COLLABORATION

Honor Code Definitions II. C. (4)

Offering for course credit work prepared in collaboration with another, unless the student secures the instructor's permission in advance of submission. A student does not prepare work in collaboration with another if he or she merely discusses with another a matter relevant to the work in question.

	that, in violation of the professor's instructions, was prepared in collaboration with another student. In addition, or in the alternative, student submitted the work of another student as student's own or allowed another student to submit student's work as his/her own.		deduction in the semester grade for the course.
Spring 2012	Student submitted for credit in Accounting a project that, in violation of the professor's instructions, was prepared in collaboration with another student. In addition, or in the alternative, student submitted the work of another student as student's own or allowed another student to submit student's work as his/her own.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the project and received a one letter deduction in the semester grade for the course.
Spring 2012	Student submitted a programming assignment for credit in Computer Science that student had prepared in collaboration with another student without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2012	Student submitted a programming assignment for credit in Computer Science that student had prepared in collaboration with another student without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2012	Student submitted a prelab assignment for credit in Mechanical Engineering that student had prepared in collaboration with other students without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment.
Spring 2012	Student submitted a prelab assignment for credit in Mechanical Engineering that student had prepared in collaboration with other students without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment.
Spring 2012	Student submitted a prelab assignment for credit in Mechanical Engineering that student had prepared in collaboration with other students without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment.

UNAUTHORIZED COLLABORATION
Honor Code Definitions II. C. (4)

Offering for course credit work prepared in collaboration with another, unless the student secures the instructor's permission in advance of submission. A student does not prepare work in collaboration with another if he or she merely discusses with another a matter relevant to the work in question.

Spring 2012	Student submitted a prelab assignment for credit in Mechanical Engineering that student had prepared in collaboration with other students without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment.
Spring 2012	Student submitted a programming assignment for credit in Computer Science that student had prepared in collaboration with another student without the permission of the professor by emailing that student a copy of student's source code.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2012	Student submitted a programming assignment for credit in Computer Science that student had prepared in collaboration with another student without the permission of the professor by submitting work that another student had emailed to student. In addition, when questioned about the matter, student misrepresented facts to the professor about the incident in order to gain an academic advantage.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2011	Student submitted for credit in Accounting a takehome bookkeeping project for which the accompanying worksheet did not agree with the underlying documents student had prepared, indicating that the work was prepared in collaboration with another student without the professor's permission and/or student failed to follow the instructions of the professor in completing the assignment and knew or should have known that such conduct would give student an unfair academic advantage on the assignment.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the project.
Fall 2011	Student submitted for credit in Environmental Science a lab report that was almost word for word the same as that submitted by another student indicating collaboration with the other student on the assignment without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the lab report and a detraction of a half letter grade from final class average.

UNAUTHORIZED COLLABORATION
Honor Code Definitions II. C. (4)
Offering for course credit work prepared in collaboration with another, unless the student secures the instructor's permission in advance of submission. A student does not prepare work in collaboration with another if he or she merely discusses with another a matter relevant to the work in question.

Fall 2011	Student submitted for credit in Environmental Science a lab report that was almost word for word the same as that submitted by another student, indicating collaboration with the other student on the assignment without the permission of the professor. In addition, when first asked by the professor to explain the similarities in work, student misrepresented facts about the incident.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the lab report and a detraction of a half letter grade from final class average.
Fall 2011	Student submitted an assignment for credit in Spanish containing the same mistakes and same answers, even on openended questions, as that of another student, indicating collaboration with another student on the assignment without the permission of the professor.	Honor Council	Received a "0" for the homework portion of the course grade.
Fall 2011	Student submitted an assignment for credit in Spanish containing the same mistakes and same answers, even on openended questions, as that of another student, indicating collaboration with another student on the assignment without the permission of the professor.	Honor Council	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Fall 2011	Student submitted for credit in History a commentary on a reading that was virtually identical to those of two other students, indicating collaboration with another student on the assignment without the permission of the professor	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment and a letter grade penalty to your overall grade for reading responses.
Fall 2011	Student submitted for credit in History a reading response paper that was virtually identical to those of two other students, indicating collaboration with another student on the assignment without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment and a letter grade penalty to your overall grade for reading responses.
Fall 2011	Student submitted for credit in Accounting a tax project that was exactly identical to that of another student, indicating that student submitted the work of another student, made student's work available to another student, or collaborated on the assignment	Faculty	Received a "0" for the project.

UNAUTHORIZED COLLABORATION
Honor Code Definitions II. C. (4)
Offering for course credit work prepared in collaboration with another, unless the student secures the instructor's permission in advance of submission. A student does not prepare work in collaboration with another if he or she merely discusses with another a matter relevant to the work in question.

	without the permission of the professor.		
Fall 2011	Student submitted for credit in Accounting a tax project that was exactly identical to that of another student, indicating that student submitted the work of another student, made student's work available to another student, or collaborated on the assignment without the permission of the professor.		Charge withdrawn by faculty after further investigation.
Fall 2011	Student submitted for credit in Physics a final exam which was prepared in collaboration with another student in violation of instructions from the professor. In the alternative, student submitted the work of another student as student's own or allowed another student to submit student's work as his/her own.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the final exam.
Fall 2011	Student submitted for credit in Physics a final exam which was prepared in collaboration with another student in violation of instructions from the professor. In the alternative, student submitted the work of another student as student's own or allowed another student to submit student's work as his/her own.	Honor Council	Received an "F" for course.
Summer 2011	None.		
Spring 2011	Student submitted a Round 1 decision set for the CompXM assignment in Business that was virtually identical to that of another student, indicating collaboration with another student on the assignment without the permission of the professor.	Honor Council	Received a permanent "F" in the course.
Spring 2011	Student submitted a Round 1 decision set for the CompXM assignment in Business that was virtually identical to that of another student, indicating collaboration with another student on the assignment without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2010	Student submitted an individual project for credit in Accounting on which student had collaborated with another student without the permission of the	Faculty	Received a reduced grade on the project.

Offering for course credit work prepared in collaboration with another, unless the student secures the instructor's permission in advance of submission. A student does not prepare work in collaboration with another if he or she merely discusses with another a matter relevant to the work in question.

	professor.		
Fall 2010	Student submitted an individual project for credit in Accounting on which student had collaborated with another student without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Student withdrew from the class. Student was required to meet with the faculty chair of the Honor Council.
Summer 2010	Student supplied to another student electronic copies of student's assignment 7 (three pieces of source code) which accused student submitted for credit in Computer Science.	Faculty	Received an "F" in the class and required to write a 10page paper on the importance of ethics and integrity in the Computer Science profession.
Summer 2010	Student offered assignment 10 course code for credit in Computer Science, having collaborated on that assignment with another student by preparing and sharing work with that student without obtaining the professor's permission.	Faculty	Received a reprimand.
Spring 2010	Student submitted a homework assignment for credit in Neuroscience that was identical to that of another student to whom student had emailed work.	Honor Council *(2nd offense Automatic Referral)*	Suspended for two semesters and received a permanent "F" in the class.
Fall 2009	Student submitted a CAST assignment for credit in Accounting that was identical to that of another student, having collaborated with the other student on the assignment without the permission of the instructor.	Faculty	Received a reduced grade on the assignment.
Fall 2009	Student submitted a manual bookkeeping project for credit in Accounting that was identical across several areas to that of another student, having collaborated with the other student on the assignment without the permission of the instructor.	Faculty	Received a reduced grade on the assignment.
Fall 2009	Student provided work to another student or students in unauthorized collaboration on a case study assignment submitted for credit in Finance.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment.
Fall 2009	Student collaborated with another student without the permission of the professor on an assignment for credit in Business.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment.

UNAUTHORIZED COLLABORATION
Honor Code Definitions II. C. (4)

Offering for course credit work prepared in collaboration with another, unless the student secures the instructor's permission in advance of submission. A student does not prepare work in collaboration with another if he or she merely discusses with another a matter relevant to the work in question.

Fall 2009	Student collaborated with another student without the permission of the professor on an assignment for credit in Business.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment.
Summer 2009	None.		
Spring 2009	Student offered for course credit in Biology an abstract related to using plants for medicinal purposes which was found through collaboration with two other students without the permission of the instructor.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2009	Student offered for course credit in Biology an abstract related to using plants for medicinal purposes which was found through collaboration with two other students without the permission of the instructor.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2009	Student offered for course credit in Biology an abstract related to using plants for medicinal purposes which was found through collaboration with two other students without the permission of the instructor.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Fall 2008	Student 1 of 2 submitted a speech outline for credit in Speech Communication which was either prepared through unauthorized collaboration with another student or was, in whole or in part, the work of another student.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2008	Student 2 of 2 submitted a speech outline for credit in Speech Communication which was either prepared through unauthorized collaboration with another student or was, in whole or in part, the work of another student.	Honor Council	NOT GUILTY
Fall 2008	Student 1 of 2 submitted an assignment for extra credit in Religion that was essentially the same as that of another student.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment and not allowed to do extra credit work.
Fall 2008	Student 2 of 2 submitted an assignment for extra credit Religion that was essentially the same as that of another student.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment and not allowed to do extra credit work.
Fall 2008	Student 1 of 3 submitted a homework assignment for	Faculty	Received no credit for the assignment.

UNAUTHORIZED COLLABORATION
Honor Code Definitions II. C. (4)
Offering for course credit work prepared in collaboration with another, unless the student secures the instructor's permission in advance of submission. A student does not prepare work in collaboration with another if he or she merely discusses with another a matter relevant to the work in question.

	credit in Sociology that was almost identical, including wrong answers and interpretations explaining answers, to those submitted by two other students.		
Fall 2008	Student 2 of 3 submitted a homework assignment for credit in Sociology that was almost identical, including wrong answers and interpretations explaining answers, to those submitted by two other students.	Faculty	Received no credit for the assignment.
Fall 2008	Student 3 of 3 submitted a homework assignment for credit in Sociology that was almost identical, including wrong answers and interpretations explaining answers, to those submitted by two other students.	Faculty	Received no credit for the assignment.
Summer 2008	None.		
Spring 2008	None.		
Fall 2007	Student 1 of 2 submitted work that was identical to that of another student in Computer Science, having prepared the work in collaboration with that student without permission from the instructor. There was also evidence that student had cheated during the midterm exam.	Faculty	Received an "F" in the course.
Fall 2007	Student 2 of 2 submitted work that was identical to that of another student in Computer Science, having prepared the work in collaboration with that student without permission from the instructor. There was also evidence that student had cheated during the midterm exam.	Faculty	Received an "F" in the course.
Fall 2007	Student 1 of 2 turned in a programming assignment identical to that of another student in Computer Science, having collaborated with that student on the assignment without the permission of the instructor	Faculty	Received an "F" in the course.
Fall 2007	Student 2 of 2 turned in a programming assignment identical to that of another student in Computer Science, having collaborated with that student on the assignment without the permission of the instructor	Faculty	Received an "F" in the course.

UNAUTHORIZED COLLABORATION
Honor Code Definitions II. C. (4)

Offering for course credit work prepared in collaboration with another, unless the student secures the instructor's permission in advance of submission. A student does not prepare work in collaboration with another if he or she merely discusses with another a matter relevant to the work in question.

Fall 2007	Student 1 of 2 collaborated with another student on a takehome midterm exam in Mathematics without the permission of the instructor.	Faculty	Received an "F" in the class.
Fall 2007	Student 2 of 2 collaborated with another student on a takehome midterm exam in Mathematics without the permission of the instructor.	Faculty	Received an "F" in the class.
Fall 2007	Student used work prepared in collaboration with another to verify formatting and other aspects on an exam in Accounting.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the exam and a 1/2 letter grade reduction on the final grade in the class.
Fall 2007	Student 1 of 4 failed to follow the instructions of professor in completing an assignment in Accounting when student collaborated with two other students on the assignment by sharing a copy of student's assignment with them so they could verify aspects of their assignment.	Honor Council	Received an "F" in the class.
Fall 2007	Student 2 of 4 failed to follow the instructions of professor in completing an assignment in Accounting when student collaborated with two other students on the assignment by using the other students' assignments to verify aspects of student's assignment.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment and a 1/2 letter grade reduction on the final grade in the class.
Fall 2007	Student 3 of 4 failed to follow the instructions of professor in completing an assignment in Accounting when student collaborated with two other students on the assignment by using one of the other students' assignments to verify aspects of student's assignment and then sharing it with a third student.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment and a 1/2 letter grade reduction on the final grade in the class.
Fall 2007	Student 4 of 4 failed to follow the instructions of professor in completing an assignment in Accounting when student collaborated with another student on the assignment by sharing student's assignment with that student to verify formatting and other aspects of his assignment.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment and a 1/2 letter grade reduction on the final grade in the class.
Fall 2007	Student 1 of 3 submitted 10 questions for use in an	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment, and as a result, a "0" on

UNAUTHORIZED COLLABORATION
Honor Code Definitions II. C. (4)
Offering for course credit work prepared in collaboration with another, unless the student secures the instructor's permission in advance of submission. A student does not prepare work in collaboration with another if he or she merely discusses with another a matter relevant to the work in question.

	oral final exam in Baylor Interdisciplinary Core which were identical to questions submitted by other students. Permission to collaborate with other students on the assignment was not obtained prior to the submission of the questions. No questions were marked as having been obtained from an outside source and no credit was given to the original author. Furthermore, no indication was made that the questions were prepared in collaboration with another student.		the final exam.
Fall 2007	Student 2 of 3 submitted questions for use in an oral final exam in Baylor Interdisciplinary Core which were identical to questions submitted by another student. Permission to collaborate with other students on the assignment was not obtained prior to the submission of the questions. Furthermore, no indication was made that the questions were prepared in collaboration with another student.	Faculty	Received a "0" on a portion of the assignment.
Fall 2007	Student 3 of 3 submitted 10 questions for use in an oral final exam in Baylor Interdisciplinary Core which were identical to questions submitted by other students. Permission to collaborate with other students on the assignment was not obtained prior to the submission of the questions. Furthermore, no indication was made that the questions were prepared in collaboration with another student.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment, and as a result, a "0" on the final exam.
Summer 2007	None.		
Spring 2007	Student 1 of 2 submitted the same outline for presentation number 2 as another student indicating they colluded or worked together on this assignment.	Faculty	Received an "F" in the class.
Spring 2007	Student 2 of 2 submitted the same outline for presentation number 2 as another student indicating they colluded or worked together on this assignment.	Faculty	Received an "F" in the class.

UNAUTHORIZED COLLABORATION
Honor Code Definitions II. C. (4)
Offering for course credit work prepared in collaboration with another, unless the student secures the instructor's permission in advance of submission. A student does not prepare work in collaboration with another if he or she merely discusses with another a matter relevant to the work in question.

Spring 2007	Student 1 of 3 submitted six daily assignments for Education class that were identical to another student's work.	Faculty	Received a "0" on each assignment.
Spring 2007	Student 2 of 3 submitted six assignments for Education class that duplicated another student's work.	Faculty	Received a "0" for each assignment.
Spring 2007	Student 1 of 2 turned in assignments for Computer Science containing sections of programming code identical to those of another student.	Faculty	Received a verbal warning from professor.
Spring 2007	Student 2 of 2 turned in assignments for Computer Science containing sections of programming code identical to those of another student.	Faculty	Received a verbal warning from professor.
Spring 2007	Student 3 of 3 submitted six assignments for Education class that duplicated another student's work.	Faculty	Received a "0" for each assignment.