Honor Code Definitions II. C. (1)

SEMESTER	OFFENSE	FACULTY OR HONOR COUNCIL DECISION	SANCTION
Fall 2020	Student submitted and used the work of another as their own for a lab in Chemistry.	Faculty Decision	Student received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2020	Student submitted two Article Critiques for credit in Educational Psychology that contained instances of word-for-word similarity and formatting to the work of another student.	Faculty Decision	Student received a "0" for Article Critique 1 and 2.
Fall 2020	Student submitted an Article Critiques for credit in Educational Psychology that contained instances of word-for-word similarity and formatting to the work of another student.	Faculty Decision	Student received a "0" for Article Critique 1.
Fall 2020	Student obtained an answer from a solutions manual to complete an assignment for credit in Computer Science.	Faculty Decision	Student received a grade of "0" for that question in the assignment.
Fall 2020	Student submitted a response as their own for credit in Computer Science that the student copied from an online source and was the work of another.	Faculty Decision	Student received a grade of "0" for that question in the assignment.
Fall 2020	Student submitted for credit in Accounting an assignment that was copied from work submitted by another student in an earlier semester.	Faculty Decision	Student received a "0" for the assignment.
Fall 2020	Student made their work available to another student, and that student submitted it as their own for credit in Accounting.	Faculty Decision	The violation was reported to the Office of Academic Integrity.
Fall 2020	Student submitted for credit in Publishing an assignment copied from the work of another student.	Faculty Decision	Student was given a makeup assignment to complete for reduced points.

Honor Code Definitions II. C. (1)

Fall 2020	Student submitted three separate assignments as their own work for credit in Finance that were substantially the same as assignments submitted in an earlier semester by another student.	Faculty Decision	Student received a "0" for each of the assignments.
Fall 2020	Student submitted for credit in Theatre an essay that closely resembled that of another student with synonyms used in an apparent attempt to escape plagiarism detection.	Faculty Decision	Student received a "0" on the assignment.
Fall 2020	Student submitted a file for credit in Computer Science containing code that was logically identical to code submitted by a student in a prior semester. When questioned about this, student misrepresented facts to the professor about where student obtained the code.	Faculty Decision	Student received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2020	Student submitted running assignments for credit in Lifestyle that were apparently completed by someone else. In addition, student misrepresented facts about their completion of the assignments.	Honor Council - (2nd offense Automatic Referral)	Student received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2020	Without the permission of the professor, student used an answer from a solutions manual to complete an assignment for credit in Computer Science.	Faculty Decision	Student received an "F" for the assignment.
Summer 2020	None.		
Spring 2020	Student submitted for credit as his own work in Biology answers to an assignment that were taken	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment.

Honor Code Definitions II. C. (1)

	from the work of another.		
Spring 2020	Student submitted for credit as student's own work in Communication Sciences and Disorders responses on quiz seven that were copied and pasted from the transcript of the professor's lecture.	Faculty	Received no points for the three responses in question.
Spring 2020	Student submitted an essay for credit in Spanish which was, in whole or in part, the work of another.	Honor Council	Received a "0" for the essay.
Spring 2020	Student submitted a project as student's own work for credit in Accounting containing a solution obtained from CourseHero.com.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the project and a 10-point deduction from the final grade for the course.
Spring 2020	Student submitted a project as student's own work for credit in Accounting containing a solution obtained from CourseHero.com.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the project and a 10-point deduction from the final grade for the course.
Spring 2020	Student submitted an assignment for credit in Nursing, then, without the permission of the professor, shared the work with another student, who submitted the assignment as that student's own for credit in another section.	Faculty	1. Must review professional behaviors in the NUR syllabus, Level 2 Clinical Evaluation Tool, LHSON Student Handbook, and Baylor University Policies and Procedures 2. Must review the Honor Code 3. Will have no further violations of the Honor Code, professional behaviors listed in the NUR 3225-13 syllabus, or the LHSON student handbook policies and procedures. 4. Must read the following publications and complete a paper of a minimum 3 pages in length discussing integrity in nursing: a. Gallup Poll on Most trusted professions b. Academic Dishonesty Today, Unethical Practices Tomorrow? c. Texas Board of Nursing Disciplinary Sanction Policy for Lying and Falsification d. The paper is to follow APA 6th edition standards, including utilization of a cover page and reference list. The 3-page minimum length excludes the cover page and reference list.

Honor Code Definitions II. C. (1)

			The student will receive a ten (10) point deduction from the clinical end of course grade posted to Bearweb obtained via the clinical evaluation tool at the completion of the course.
Spring 2020	Student submitted the work of another student for an online exam as student's own for credit in Mathematics.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the exam.
Spring 2020	Student shared work with another student who submitted that work for credit as that student's own on an exam in Mathematics.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the exam.
Spring 2020	Student submitted as student's own for credit in Computer Science multiple files that student copied from an online source.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Spring 2020	Student submitted for credit in Mathematics an exam that included copied work of another student.	Honor Council (2nd Violation- Automatic Referral)	Was given opportunity to retake a new version of the exam with a 10-point penalty to the exam grade. Because this is a second violation the student's grade was reduced by a full letter grade after imposing the professor's sanction. In addition, will not be afforded the pass/fail option.
Spring 2020	Student submitted an essay for credit in English that was 71% the work of a student who took the course in a previous semester.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2020	Student submitted for credit in English a quiz that bore significant similarities including identical phrasing or answers that should not have been verbatim to that of another student. In addition, five other quizzes, eight out of class assignments, and the midterm were discovered containing work that also included significant similarities to that of the other student.	Faculty	Received a "0" for every assignment that had significant similarities to that of the other student.
Spring 2020	Student submitted for credit in English a quiz that bore significant similarities including identical phrasing or answers that should not have been verbatim to that of another student. In addition, five	Faculty	Received a "0" for every every assignment that had significant similarities to that of the other student.

Honor Code Definitions II. C. (1)

	other quizzes, eight out of class assignments, and the midterm were discovered containing work that also included significant similarities to that of the other student.		
Spring 2020	Student submitted for credit in Educational Psychology a paper that was 85% the work of another (a paper mill website).	Faculty	Received a "0" for the paper.
Spring 2020	Student submitted a final project for credit in Quantitative Business Analysis containing three parts, two of which were identical to that of another student.	Honor Council	Pending
Spring 2020	Student submitted a final project for credit in Quantitative Business Analysis containing three parts, two of which were identical to that of another student.	Honor Council	Pending
Spring 2020	Student submitted a take-home final exam for credit in Philosophy containing answers that were, in whole or in part, the work of another and/or were taken from the instructor's solutions manual without the permission of the professor.	Honor Council (2nd Violation- Automatic Referral)	Received an "F" for the course without opportunity for pass/fail option and a one-year probation with assignments.
Fall 2019	Student submitted an assignment for credit in Computer Science containing two separate portions of code that were identical to that of another student indicating that student either shared code with or copied code from another person or persons.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the two programs (a portion of the assignment).
Fall 2019	Student submitted an assignment for credit in Computer Science containing code that was identical to that of another student indicating that student either shared code with or copied code from another person.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the portion of the assignment containing the identical code.
Fall 2019	On or about September 9, 2019 student provided code to another student for an assignment in Computer Science.	Faculty	Received a grade of "0" for the NFA program on the assignment.
Fall 2019	Student submitted as student's own for credit in	Honor Council	Received a 0" for the assignment and will not be

Honor Code Definitions II. C. (1)

	Psychology a study guide copied from the work of another student.	(2nd Violation- Automatic Referral)	allowed to receive extra credit for the remaining study guides. Also received an "F for ENG 1304 as additional sanction for second violation.
Fall 2019	Student copied answers from an online answer key that student then gave to group members to complete a group assignment in Accounting.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment.
Fall 2019	Student submitted a paper for credit in Biology that was identical to that of another student.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Fall 2019	Student submitted a paper for credit in Biology that was identical to that of another student.	Honor Council	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Fall 2019	Student submitted a paper for credit in Sociology large portions of which were copied from the work of another student. Following discovery of the violation by the professor, the student lied to the professor about why the papers were similar and attempted to conspire with the other student to support the false explanation.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2019	Against the instructions of the professor, student used an instructor's solution manual to complete a homework assignment for Physics.	Faculty	Received a deduction of 3 of 15 points allotted to Class Participation and Homework.
Fall 2019	Against the instructions of the professor, student used an instructor's solution manual to complete a homework assignment for Physics.	Honor Council (2nd Violation- Automatic Referral)	Pending
Fall 2019	Against the instructions of the professor, student used an instructor's solution manual to complete a homework assignment for Physics.	Faculty	Received a deduction of 3 of 15 points allotted to Class Participation and Homework.
Fall 2019	Against the instructions of the professor, student used an instructor's solution manual to complete a homework assignment for Physics.	Faculty	Received a deduction of 3 of 15 points allotted to Class Participation and Homework.
Fall 2019	Student submitted as student's own for credit in Nursing a Reflective Practice Journal containing passages taken verbatim from the ANA's <i>The New Code of Ethics for Nurses</i> without properly identifying said passages or crediting the original author(s). In addition, the student's evaluation and	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.

Honor Code Definitions II. C. (1)

	application of the standard was taken verbatim from another student's work.		
Fall 2019	Student made work from a previous semester available to another student and the other student used the work to complete an assignment in Chemistry.	Honor Council	Received a one letter grade reduction for the course.
Fall 2019	Student submitted the work of another student from an earlier semester on an assignment for credit in Chemistry.	Honor Council	Received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2019	On seventeen separate instances student submitted work for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to another student's work.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2019	On sixteen separate instances student submitted work for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to another student's work.	Honor Council	Pending
Fall 2019	On sixteen separate instances student submitted work for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to another student's work.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2019	On ten separate instances student submitted work for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to another student's work.	Honor Council (2nd Violation- Automatic Referral)	Pending
Fall 2019	On eight separate instances student submitted work for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to another student's work.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2019	On eight separate instances during the 2019 fall semester student submitted work for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to another student's work.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2019	On six separate instances student submitted work for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to another student's work.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2019	Student submitted a program for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to another student's work.	Faculty	Received a grade of "0" for the programming assignment average.
Fall 2019	Student submitted a program for credit in Computer	Faculty	Received a grade of "0" for the programming

Honor Code Definitions II. C. (1)

	Science that was substantially similar to another student's work.		assignment average.
Fall 2019	Student submitted a program for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to another student's work.	Faculty	Received a grade of "0" for the programming assignment average.
Fall 2019	Student submitted a program for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to another student's work.	Faculty	Received a grade of "0" for the programming assignment average.
Fall 2019	Student submitted a program for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to another student's work.	Faculty	Received a grade of "0" for the programming assignment average.
Fall 2019	Student submitted a program for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to another student's work.	Faculty	Received a grade of "0" for the programming assignment average.
Fall 2019	Student submitted a program for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to another student's work.	Faculty	Received a grade of "0" for the programming assignment average.
Fall 2019	Student submitted a program for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to another student's work.	Faculty	Received a grade of "0" for the programming assignment average.
Fall 2019	Student submitted a program for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to another student's work.	Faculty	Received a grade of "0" for the programming assignment average.
Fall 2019	Student submitted a program for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to another student's work.	Faculty	Received a grade of "0" for the programming assignment average.
Fall 2019	On six separate instances student submitted work for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to another student's work.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2019	On six separate instances student submitted work for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to another student's work.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2019	On six separate instances student submitted work for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to another student's work.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.

Honor Code Definitions II. C. (1)

Fall 2019	On five separate instances student submitted work for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to another student's work.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2019	Student submitted a program for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to another student's work.	Faculty	Received a grade of "0" for the programming assignment average.
Fall 2019	Student submitted a program for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to another student's work.	Faculty	Received a grade of "0" for the programming assignment average.
Fall 2019	Student submitted a program for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to another student's work.	Faculty	Received a grade of "0" for the programming assignment average.
Fall 2019	Student submitted a program for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to another student's work.	Faculty	Received a grade of "0" for the programming assignment average.
Fall 2019	Student submitted a program for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to another student's work.	Faculty	Received a grade of "0" for the programming assignment average.
Fall 2019	Student submitted a program for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to another student's work.	Faculty	Received a grade of "0" for the programming assignment average.
Fall 2019	Student submitted a program for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to another student's work.	Honor Council	Pending
Fall 2019	Student submitted a program for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to another student's work.	Faculty	Received a grade of "0" for the programming assignment average.
Fall 2019	Student submitted a program for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to another student's work.	Faculty	Received a grade of "0" for the programming assignment average.
Fall 2019	On seventeen separate instances student submitted work for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to another student's work.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2019	On four separate instances student submitted work for credit in Computer Science that was substantially	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.

Honor Code Definitions II. C. (1)

	similar to another student's work.		
Fall 2019	Student submitted a program for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to another student's work.	Faculty	Received a grade of "0" for the programming assignment average.
Fall 2019	Student submitted a program for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to another student's work.	Faculty	Received a grade of "0" for the programming assignment average.
Fall 2019	Student submitted a program for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to another student's work.	Faculty	Received a grade of "0" for the programming assignment average.
Fall 2019	On fifteen separate instances student submitted work for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to another student's work.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Summer 2019	Instead of running student's own tests on the computer in SPSS for credit in Educational Psychology, student had another student run the tests. Student then submitted student's own reports for class.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course but failed the course due to performance. The matter was reported to the Office of Academic Integrity.
Spring 2019	Student submitted as student's own work exams for credit in Chemistry containing answers nearly identical to those of another student.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Spring 2019	Student had a flash drive in student's possession containing the work of another student who had already taken Mechanical Engineering, used the flash drive to complete some of the assignments and shared files with other students in mechanical Engineering.	Faculty	Received a "0" for every assignment flash drive used to complete.
Spring 2019	While taking an exam in Religion, student looked at the exam of another student. In addition, student obtained answers from the exam of the other student and used them for student's own exam.	Faculty	Was given the opportunity to retake the exam and received the grade for the retake.
Spring 2019	Student submitted for credit in Mechanical Engineering at least 4 lab reports and one homework assignment that were the work of another student.	Faculty	Received a "0" for every assignment that was known to be copied.

Honor Code Definitions II. C. (1)

Spring 2019	Student submitted program 1 for credit in Computer	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
	Science showing a 61% match to that of another		
	student, indicating that the student collaborated		
	with the other student on the assignment without		
	the permission of the professor, submitted the work		
	of another student as student's own, and/or		
	submitted work for credit containing portions taken		
	from the work of another without properly		
	identifying said portions or crediting the original		
	author(s).		
Spring 2019	Student submitted the work of another student as	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment and a one
	student's own for credit in Biology. In addition,		letter grade reduction for the course.
	when questioned by the professor for the course on		
	about this matter, student misrepresented some of		
	the facts.		
Spring 2019	Student shared the work submitted for an	Honor Council	The violation was reported to the Office of Academic
	assignment in Biology with two other students who		Integrity.
	were then able to copy the work and submit it as		
	their own for credit.		
Spring 2019	Student submitted the work of another student for	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment and a one letter grade
	credit in Biology. In addition, when questioned by		reduction for the course.
	the professor for the course about this matter,		
	student misrepresented some of the facts.		
Spring 2019	Student accepted another student's completed take-	Faculty	The violation was reported to the Office of Academic
	home exam in Educational Psychology when it was		Integrity.
	offered to student. However, it appears that student		
	ultimately completed student's own work and		
	informed the professor of the misconduct.		
Spring 2019	Student offered completed take-home exam for	Faculty	The violation was reported to the Office of Academic
	Educational Psychology to another student.		Integrity.
Spring 2019	Student submitted code for credit in Computer	Faculty	Received a "0" on the programming assignment
	Science that was substantially similar to another		category.
	student's work.		
Spring 2019	Student completed and submitted own work for	Honor Council	Received an "F" for the course.
	credit in Philosophy on Assignment 3 but allowed	(2nd Violation-	

Honor Code Definitions II. C. (1)

	another student to copy the work in whole or in part. In addition, student submitted work for credit in Philosophy on Assignment 4 that student copied in whole or in part from that of another student.	Automatic Referral)	
Spring 2019	Student submitted work for credit in Philosophy on Assignment 3 that student copied in whole or in part from that of another student.	Honor Council (2nd Violation- Automatic Referral)	Received an "F" for the course and suspension for spring and summer 2020.
Spring 2019	Student submitted for credit in Chemistry a lab notebook containing the work of a student who took the course in 2017.	Honor Council (2nd Violation- Automatic Referral)	Received an "F" for the course and suspended for three semesters.
Spring 2019	Student submitted code for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to another student's work.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the programming assignment category.
Spring 2019	Student submitted code for the Final Practicum in Computer Science that was substantially similar to another student's work.	Honor Council (2nd Violation- Automatic Referral)	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2019	Student submitted code for the Final Practicum in Computer Science that was substantially similar to another student's work.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the Final Practicum.
Spring 2019	Student submitted code for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to another student's work.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the programming assignment category.
Spring 2019	Another student submitted work for credit on project 6 in Computer Science that was logically identical in numerous ways to the work student submitted for that same course in the fall of 2018 indicating that student shared work with or made work available to that student.	Honor Council	Received an "F" for the course and suspended for 2 semesters.
Spring 2019	Student submitted work for credit on project 6 in Computer Science that was logically identical in numerous ways to the work another student submitted for that same course during an earlier semester.	Honor Council	Pending
Spring 2019	Student submitted code for credit in Computer Science that was substantially similar to another	Faculty	Received a "0" on the programming assignment category.

Honor Code Definitions II. C. (1)

	student's work.		
Spring 2019	Another student submitted work for credit on	Honor Council	Received an "F" for the course and suspended for 2
	project 4 in Computer Science that was logically		semesters.
	identical in numerous ways to the work student		
	submitted for that same course in the fall of 2018		
	indicating that student shared work with or made		
	work available to that student.		
Spring 2019	Student submitted work for credit on project 4 in	Honor Council	Pending
	Computer Science that was logically identical in		
	numerous ways to the work another student		
	submitted for that same course during an earlier		
	semester.		
Spring 2019	Student submitted written solutions to a take home	Faculty	Received a "0" for the final exam.
	exam in Physics containing the same unusual		
	answers submitted by another student.		
Spring 2019	Student submitted written solutions to a take home	Faculty	Received a "0" for the final exam.
	exam in Physics containing the same unusual		
	answers submitted by another student.		
Spring 2019	On multiple occasions in Computer Science, student	Honor Council	Received an "F" for both courses.
	submitted programming assignments that were	(2nd Violation-	
	substantially similar to another student's work.	Automatic Referral)	
Spring 2019	On multiple occasions in Computer Science, student	Honor Council	Received an "F" for both courses.
	submitted programming assignments that were	(2nd Violation-	
	substantially similar to another student's work.	Automatic Referral)	
Spring 2019	On two occasions in Computer Science, student	Faculty	Received a "0" on the programming assignment category.
	submitted code for projects that was substantially		
	similar to another student's work.		
Spring 2019	Student submitted several programs for credit in	Honor Council	Received a "0" on the programming assignment category
	Computer Science that were substantially similar to	(2nd Violation-	and suspension for Spring and Summer 2020.
	another student's work.	Automatic Referral)	
Spring 2019	For three projects in Computer Science, student	Faculty	Received a "0" on the programming assignment category.
	submitted code that was substantially similar to		
	another student's work.		
Spring 2019	Student submitted homework solutions and a lab	Faculty	Received a "0" for both assignments.
	report for credit in Mechanical Engineering that		

Honor Code Definitions II. C. (1)

	student had copied from another student knowing the work had been submitted for credit in an earlier semester by that student.		
Spring 2019	Student submitted a project for credit in Computer Science containing code that was identical to that submitted by another student, having received help from that student without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the project.
Spring 2019	Student helped another student on an assignment in Computer Science by sharing code with that student without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a grade of "0" for the project.
Fall 2018	Student submitted another student's piece of code for credit in Computer Science.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course. Student will not be allowed to drop the course.
Fall 2018	Because student failed to adequately secure work, another student copied student's work and submitted it for credit in another section of Computer Science.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course and will not be allowed to drop the course.
Fall 2018	Student worked on a shared file for a program with another student when the work was to be done individually and then submitted the work for credit in Mechanical Engineering.	Faculty	Pending
Fall 2018	Student worked on a shared file for a program with another student when the work was to be done individually and then submitted the work for credit in Mechanical Engineering.	Faculty	Pending
Fall 2018	Student submitted for credit in Mechanical Engineering a software program that student completed, but used the same program as another student to run it with the hardware and submit for credit.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Fall 2018	Student submitted for credit in Mechanical Engineering a software program that student completed, but used the same program as another student to run it with the hardware and submit for credit.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.

Honor Code Definitions II. C. (1)

Fall 2018	Student submitted for credit in Biology a lab report containing a large portion of work copied verbatim	Faculty	Received a "0" for the report.
Fall 2018	from that of another student. Another student submitted for credit in Biology a lab report containing a large portion of work copied	Faculty	Received a "0" for the report.
Fall 2018	verbatim from student's paper. Student submitted code containing an 87% match to that written by another student for credit in Computer Science.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2018	Student copied answers of another student during an exam in Economics.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Summer 2018	Student shared code with other students who submitted student's work as their own with only minor modifications on a take-home exam in Engineering.	Faculty	The incident was reported to the Office of Academic Integrity.
Summer 2018	Student submitted the work of another student on a take-home exam, making only slight modifications of student's own, for credit in Engineering.	Faculty	Received a deduction of 25 points from the exam grade.
Summer 2018	Student submitted the work of another student on a take-home exam, making only slight modifications of student's own, for credit in Engineering.	Faculty	Received a deduction of 25 points from the exam grade.
Summer 2018	Student submitted the work of another student on a take-home exam, making only slight modifications of student's own, for credit in Engineering.	faculty	Received a deduction of 25 points from the exam grade.
Summer 2018	Student submitted the work of another student on a take-home exam, making only slight modifications of student's own, for credit in Engineering.	Faculty	Received a deduction of 25 points from the exam grade.
Summer 2018	Student submitted the work of another student on a take-home exam, making only slight modifications of student's own, for credit in Engineering.	Faculty	Received a deduction of 25 points from the exam grade.
Summer 2018	Student shared code with another student who submitted the work as that student's own with only minor modifications on a take-home exam in Engineering.	Faculty	The incident was reported to the Office of Academic Integrity.
Summer 2018	Student submitted as student's own work for credit	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.

Honor Code Definitions II. C. (1)

	in Chemistry a virtual lab assignment which was taken from an answer key found online.		
Summer 2018	Student submitted as student's own work for credit in Chemistry a virtual lab assignment which was taken from an answer key found online.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2018	Student submitted as student's own for credit in Music an essay containing portions which student copied from the work of another student without that student's knowledge or permission. In addition, when questioned about the essay, student initially lied to the professor by falsely claiming student had submitted the essay on Canvas by the deadline and that student had submitted the wrong version. Student then submitted the version containing the work of the other student and falsely claimed that it was student's own work.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the essay.
Spring 2018	During the final exam in Chemistry, student looked repeatedly at a neighbor's exam.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Spring 2018	During the final exam in Chemistry, student copied answers from a neighbor's exam.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Spring 2018	Student submitted for credit in Chemistry a pre-lab assignment copied word for word from a document found online.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2018	Student submitted for credit in Chemistry a pre-lab assignment copied word for word from a document found online.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2018	Student submitted for credit in Biology a lab report that was 82% similar to the work of another student, indicating that student submitted the work of another as student's own, allowed another to submit student's work as his/her own, and/or collaborated with another student on the assignment without the permission of the instructor.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2018	Student submitted as his own work for credit in Chemistry an assignment copied word for word from	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.

Honor Code Definitions II. C. (1)

	an online document.		
Spring 2018	Student submitted a part of a group project for credit in Accounting that was the work of a student who took the class in a previous semester.	Faculty	Received a "0' for the project.
Spring 2018	Student submitted a part of a group project for credit in Accounting that was the work of a student who took the class in a previous semester.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the project.
Spring 2018	Student submitted a part of a group project for credit in Accounting that was the work of a student who took the class in a previous semester.	Faculty	Received a "0' for the project.
Spring 2018	Student submitted an assignment that consisted of two virtual lab worksheets as student's own work when student actually copied the work from online resources, and such resources were not to be used on the assignments.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the report (assignment).
Spring 2018	Student submitted for credit in Chemistry an assignment that consisted of two virtual lab worksheets as student's own work when student actually copied the work from online resources, and such resources were not to be used on the assignments. In addition, the next worksheet student submitted for credit also contained work found online.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the first report (assignment) and partial credit for the report submitted later.
Spring 2018	Student submitted for credit in Chemistry an assignment that consisted of two virtual lab worksheets as student's own work when student actually copied the work from online resources, and such resources were not to be used on the assignments.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the report (assignment).
Spring 2018	Student submitted for credit in Chemistry an assignment that consisted of two virtual lab worksheets as student's own work when student actually copied the work from online resources, and such resources were not to be used on the assignments.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the report (assignment).

Honor Code Definitions II. C. (1)

Spring 2018	 Student submitted for credit in Chemistry an assignment consisting of three worksheets as student's own work when student actually copied the work from online resources, and such resources were not to be used on the assignment. On another occasion student submitted for credit in Chemistry an assignment that consisted of two worksheets as student's own work when student actually copied the work from online resources, and such resources were not to be used on the assignments. 	Faculty	Received a "0" for both reports based on these assignments.
Spring 2018	Student submitted for credit in Political Science a research paper that was, in whole or in part, the work of another.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2018	Student submitted as his own for credit in Management an essay written by a student who took the course during the prior semester.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment and may not make it up with extra credit.
Spring 2018	Another student submitted a writing assignment for credit in Public Health containing student's name in the Microsoft Word authorship information.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2018	Student submitted a writing assignment for credit in Public Health that contained the name of another person in the Microsoft Word authorship information.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2018	Student submitted the work of another student on a take-home exam, making only slight modifications of student's own, for credit in Engineering.	Faculty	Received a deduction of 25 points from the exam grade.
Spring 2018	Student submitted the work of another student on a take-home exam, making only slight modifications of his own, for credit in Engineering.	Faculty	Received a deduction of 25 points from the exam grade.
Spring 2018	Student shared code with other students who submitted student's work as their own with only minor modifications on a take-home exam in Engineering.	Faculty	The incident was reported to the Office of Academic Integrity.

Honor Code Definitions II. C. (1)

Spring 2018	Student shared code with other students who submitted student's work as their own with only minor modifications on a take-home exam in Engineering.	Faculty	The incident was reported to the Office of Academic Integrity.
Spring 2018	Student submitted for credit in Electrical and Computer Engineering six out of ten assignments that were copied from the work of another student.	Honor Council (2nd Violation- Automatic Referral)	Received a "0" for all six assignments.
Spring 2018	Student used the work of another student on an assignment and submitted a modified copy for credit in Computer Science.	Faculty	The incident was reported to the Office of Academic Integrity.
Spring 2018	Student allowed work on an assignment to be used by another student to make a modified copy and submit for credit in Computer Science.	Faculty	The incident was reported to the Office of Academic Integrity.
Spring 2018	Student submitted for credit in Biology a report that was substantially similar to one submitted by a former student.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Fall 2017	Student asked another student, who had already taken the course, for an electronic copy of an assignment that student had submitted for a grade in Theology. Student then copied the assignment of the other student and submitted it as his own for credit in Theology. When questioned, student repeatedly lied about what had happened.	Faculty	Received a "0" for this portion of the assignment — approximately 25% of the final grade. Must resubmit a satisfactory draft, both hard copy and electronic copy, of this portion of the assignment. The final draft of the entire assignment is due. If it not completed satisfactorily by due date, student will receive an "F" for the course. Student is also required to write a 5-page essay on the topic, "Why a Christian Should Always Speak The Truth." If it is not completed satisfactorily, the student will not pass the course.
Fall 2017	Student submitted a paper for credit in Sociology that was to include findings from research student conducted, but the paper contained 31% (according to Turnitin) of content from a project another student submitted previously.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment (a loss of 10 points out of 200 possible in the course).
Fall 2017	Student allowed another student to submit student's work as that student's own for credit in	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment.

Honor Code Definitions II. C. (1)

	Mechanical Engineering.		
Fall 2017	Student submitted as student's own for credit in ME 3420 the work of another student.	Faculty	Received a "0' on the assignment.
Fall 2017	Student submitted another person's work as student's own for credit in Public Health.	Honor Council (2nd Violation- Automatic Referral)	Received a "0" for the assignment. Honor Council recommended "F" for the course as additional sanction for second violation. Provost upheld the recommendation. Additional violation will result in immediate suspension for one long semester.
Fall 2017	Student submitted a lab report for credit in Chemistry which was copied, in whole or in part, from the work of another (an online source).	Faculty	Received a "0" for the experiment.
Fall 2017	Student submitted an altered photocopy of another person's work as student's own for credit in MUS 3301.	Honor Council	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Fall 2017	Student copied work from another student during an exam in Accounting.	Honor Council	Received a "0" for the exam.
Summer 2017	Student provided access to student's Canvas account to someone else who then logged in on multiple occasions from an international location and submitted work in student's name for credit in Accounting.	Honor Council	Pending – Student not enrolled
Summer 2017	Student made student's account information available to another student who then used student's information to access a solution during an exam in Computer Science.	Honor Council	Received an "F" for the course.
Spring 2017	1. In violation of instructions from the professor that this was an individual project, student uploaded the work of another student and the work student submitted for project 0 in Computer Science was significantly similar to that of the other student, including the use of that student's name, indicating unauthorized collaboration on the project. 2. Student submitted work that was significantly similar to that of another student for project 1 in Computer Science indicating unauthorized	Honor Council	Received an "F" for the course.

Honor Code Definitions II. C. (1)

	collaboration on the project. 3. Student submitted work that was significantly similar to that of another student for project 2 in Computer Science, indicating unauthorized collaboration on the project.		
Spring 2017	Student submitted for credit in Theology part of an assignment that was, in whole or in part, the work of a former student.	Faculty	Received a "0" for that portion of the assignment. The highest student will be able to make on the assignment overall is 75%. Student must also redo the initial draft or student will fail the course.
Spring 2017	Student submitted as student's own for credit in Mechanical Engineering a homework assignment of another student that student had printed and altered to look like it was student's own when student's would not print.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2017	Student allowed another student to print student's homework assignment, alter it to appear as if it were his own, and submit it for credit in Mechanical Engineering.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2017	Student submitted a take home assignment for credit in Chemistry that was copied in whole or in part from an online source.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2017	Student submitted for credit as student's own work in Electrical and Computer Engineering an assignment that was copied in whole or in part from the work of another student.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.

Honor Code Definitions II. C. (1)

Spring 2017	Student made student's assignment in Electrical and Computer Engineering available to another student in a way that allowed that student to copy the assignment and present it as that student's own.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2017	Student submitted a take home assignment for credit in Chemistry that was copied in whole or in part from an online source.	Honor Council (2nd Violation- Automatic Referral)	Received a "0" for the assignment. Suspended for one semester.
Spring 2017	Student submitted a take home assignment for credit in Chemistry that was copied in whole or in part from an online source.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2017	Student submitted lab report as student's own for credit in Chemistry that was a word for word copy of a grading key. When the instructor returned the report to student and gave student a grade of "0" student changed the report before going to talk to the instructor about the charge and misrepresented what was on the report originally submitted.	Faculty	Received a "0' for the assignment and an "F" for the course.
Spring 2017	Student submitted a take home assignment for credit in Chemistry that was copied in whole or in part from an online source.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2017	Student submitted a paper as student's own work for credit in Leadership/Administration that student had copied verbatim from the work of another student.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the paper.

Honor Code Definitions II. C. (1)

Spring 2017	Student submitted a take home assignment for credit in Chemistry that was copied in whole or in part from an online source.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2017	Student submitted Program 3 for credit in Computer Science containing code that was copied in whole or in part from an internet source.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Spring 2017	Student submitted as student's own for credit in Leadership/Administration an assignment that was in whole or in part the work of another student.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2017	Student submitted an assignment as student's own for credit in Human Performance that was, in whole or in part, the work of another student.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment.
Spring 2017	Student made student's work on an assignment available to another student and/or allowed that student to copy student's work and submit it, in whole or in part, for credit in Human Performance.	Faculty	Received a "0' for the assignment.
Spring 2017	Student submitted Assignments 4 and 5 for credit in Computer Science containing code that was copied in whole or in part from an online source.	Faculty	Received a "0" for both assignments.
Spring 2017	Student submitted for credit in English an essay for which student had received too much help from another student, actually changing the phrasing and language into that of the other student.	Faculty	Allowed to write another paper.
Spring 2017	Student uploaded to Canvas a two-page summary of a work for credit in Psychology that was in whole or in part the work of another student. In addition, the summary contained passages taken from the work of another without properly identifying said passages or crediting the original author(s).	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment resulting in a "C" for the course.
Spring 2017	Student submitted for credit in English an essay that had a 90% similarity to an essay submitted to Baylor by another person.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the essay and the course.
Fall 2016	Student submitted for credit in English a paper for Essay I which was nearly identical to the work of another student. In addition, when questioned	Faculty	The second paper submitted will be allowed as a rewrite, but no further rewrites will be allowed for the semester and the grade on the rewritten

Honor Code Definitions II. C. (1)

	about the paper, student misrepresented facts to the professor on multiple occasions.		paper will be reduced by 25 points.
Fall 2016	Student submitted an assignment for credit in Computer Science containing code taken from that of another student.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Fall 2016	Student submitted for credit in Engineering a homework assignment containing a solution copied in whole or in part from the solutions manual.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Fall 2016	Student submitted the work of other students on a team report as student's own for credit in Engineering.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the report.
Fall 2016	Student shared team report for Engineering with another student who then submitted for credit in Engineering a report taken in whole or in part from the report shared.	Honor Council	Received half credit off the grade for the team's report.
Fall 2016	Student submitted programs 10, 16, 17, and 18 for credit in Computer Science which were substantially the same as that of other students, having either offered the work of the other students as student's own or having collaborated with the other students on the assignment without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2016	Student submitted for credit in English a paper that was copied, in whole or in part, from the work of another student.	Faculty	Received no credit for the assignment.
Fall 2016	Student submitted for credit in Chemistry a lab report which was in whole or in part the work of another student.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Fall 2016	Student submitted for credit as student's own work a report in Mechanical Engineering which was copied in whole or in part from the work of another student or students completed for the same class the prior year. In addition, when questioned by the professor as to how the two works matched so closely, student lied about your actions.	Honor Council	Received a "0" for the project.

Honor Code Definitions II. C. (1)

Fall 2016	Student submitted for credit as student's own work a report in Mechanical Engineering which was copied in whole or in part from the work of another student or students completed for the same class the prior year. In addition, when questioned by the professor as to how the two works matched so closely, student lied about actions.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the project.
Fall 2016	Student submitted for credit as student's own work a report in Mechanical Engineering which was copied in whole or in part from the work of another student or students completed for the same class the prior year. In addition, when questioned by the professor as to how the two works matched so closely, student lied about actions.	Honor Council	Received a "0" for the project.
Fall 2016	In violation of instructions from the professor, student shared group's code for project 6 in Computer Science with a student from another section of the course.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2016	In violation of instructions from the professor, student shared code for project 6 in Computer Science with another student who then used it to complete the project.	Honor Council	Received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2016	Student used the code of another student and presented it as student's own in a project submitted for credit in Computer Science.	Honor Council (2nd Violation- Automatic Referral)	Received an "F" for the course and suspended for two semesters.
Fall 2016	Student made work for Computer Science publicly available to other students who then were able to copy the work and present it as their own for credit.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2016	Student obtained the code of another student and presented it as student's own on a project submitted for credit in Computer Science.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2016	Student submitted for credit in English a take-home final exam that was, in whole or in part, the work of someone else.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the final exam.
Summer 2016	Student submitted a research paper for credit in	Faculty	Received an "F" for the paper and the course.

Honor Code Definitions II. C. (1)

	English 93% of which was taken from a paper already submitted to Baylor.		
Spring 2016	Student submitted a virtual lab assignment for credit in Chemistry containing the same answers, word for word, as the work submitted by another student, indicating either that the work was not entirely student's own work or that student shared student's work with another student without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the virtual lab mark.
Spring 2016	Student submitted a lab assignment for credit in Communication Sciences and Disorders that was the work of another student who completed the course in a prior semester.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2016	Student submitted the work of another student on a case study assignment as student's own for credit in Quantitative Business Analysis in violation of instructions from the professor that the case study was to be considered an individual assignment.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment.
Spring 2016	Student submitted for credit in Finance a project for which student had obtained answers from the online instructor's manual for the textbook.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the project grade.
Spring 2016	Student turned in two homework assignments in Mathematics which student copied from an online solutions manual.	Faculty	Received a "0" for both assignments.
Spring 2016	Student turned in two homework assignments in Mathematics which student copied from an online solutions manual.	Faculty	Received a "0" for both assignments.
Spring 2016	Student turned in a homework assignment for credit in Accounting which student copied from a solutions manual.	Faculty	Received a "0" for all homework where you had the opportunity to rely on the solutions manual, amounting to about a 5% (1/2 grade) penalty for the course.
Spring 2016	Student submitted a research paper for credit in Psychology which contained material taken from the work of another student as a template for student's paper.	Faculty	Received a score of "0" out of 75 points on the paper.

Honor Code Definitions II. C. (1)

Spring 2016	Student submitted for credit in CHE 1101 a homework homework assignment as student's own work which in fact had been copied from the instructor's manual.	Faculty	Received a "0" for all questionable work.
Spring 2016	Student submitted homework for credit in Accounting which student copied in whole or in part from a solutions manual.	Faculty	Received a "0" for all homework submitted for the semester.
Spring 2016	Student submitted homework for credit in Accounting which student copied in whole or in part from a solutions manual.	Faculty	Received a "0" for all homework submitted for the semester.
Spring 2016	In violation of clear instructions from the professor student used notes prepared by another student as student's own during recitation in Psychology/Neuroscience.	Faculty	Received a 0 for the recitation scores based on the notes in question.
Spring 2016	Student submitted for credit in Mechanical Engineering a quiz with solutions copied from the publisher's solution key for the quiz.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the quiz.
Spring 2016	Student submitted for credit in Mechanical Engineering a quiz with solutions copied from the publisher's solution key for the quiz.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the quiz.
Spring 2016	Student submitted for credit in Theology a project which was the work of a former student.	Faculty	Received an "F" on the assignment resulting in an "F" for the course.
Spring 2016	Student submitted for credit in Finance a project for which she had obtained answers from the online instructor's manual for the textbook.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the project.
Spring 2016	Student submitted for credit in Finance a project for which he had obtained answers from the online instructor's manual for the textbook.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the project.
Spring 2016	Student submitted for credit in Engineering a take home exam which was an exact copy of the work of a former student.	Faculty	Received a letter grade deduction for the course grade.
Spring 2016	In violation of the instructions of the professor that each student was expected to do his/her own work, student submitted the work of another student as	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment resulting in an "F" for the course.

Honor Code Definitions II. C. (1)

	student's own for credit in for Accounting.		
Spring 2016	Student provided student's work on a project for Accounting to another student in violation of the instructions of the professor that each student was expected to do his/her own work.	Faculty	Penalized 50% on the grade for the assignment.
Spring 2016	Student submitted as student's own for credit in Electrical and Computer Engineering multiple assignments, several sections of which were taken with minor alterations from the work of another student.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2015	Student received credit for work not totally completed by student for an online class taken at McLennan Community College during the summer 2015.	Honor Council	NOT GUILTY
Fall 2015	Student submitted an assignment for credit in Biology, part of which was copied from the work of another student.	Honor Council (2nd Violation- Automatic Referral)	Received a "0" for the assignment. Any further violation of the Honor Code will automatically result in suspension.
Fall 2015	While working with another student on an assignment for credit in Biology, student made work available to the other student in a form the other student was able to and subsequently did copy word for word and submit as student's own.	Honor Council	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Fall 2015	Student submitted an assignment for credit in Human Performance which was the same as that of another student, having either offered the work of another as student's own or having collaborated with the other student on the assignment without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment.
Fall 2015	Student submitted an assignment for credit in Human Performance which was the same as that of another student, having either offered the work of another as student's own or having collaborated with the other student on the assignment without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment.
Fall 2015	Student submitted homework for credit in	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment.

Honor Code Definitions II. C. (1)

	Engineering which student copied, in whole or in part, from a solutions manual.		
Fall 2015	Student submitted a programming assignment for credit in Computer Science containing code copied from that of another student.	Faculty	Received an "F' for the course.
Fall 2015	Student submitted a programming assignment for credit in Computer Science containing code copied from that of another student.	Faculty	Received an "F' for the course.
Fall 2015	Without the permission of the professor, student made his work available to another student on a programming assignment in Computer Science	Faculty	Received an "F' for the course.
Fall 2015	Student submitted a programming assignment for credit in Computer Science containing code copied from that of another student.	Faculty	Received an "F' for the course.
Fall 2015	Student submitted a programming assignment for credit in Computer Science containing code copied from that of another student.	Faculty	Received an "F' for the course.
Fall 2015	Student submitted a programming assignment for credit in Computer Science containing code copied from that of another student.	Faculty	Received an "F' for the course.
Fall 2015	Student submitted an assignment for credit in Music that was identical to that of another student and appears to have been copied from that student.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment. May redo the assignment for extra credit. The second attempt will not replace the "0" but will be averaged with other homework grades.
Fall 2015	Student submitted two homework assignments for credit in Engineering which student copied, in whole or in part, from a solutions manual.	Faculty	Received a grade of "0" on both assignments. Repeating this behavior will result in "F" for the course.
Fall 2015	Student submitted as his own work an assignment for credit in Computer Science containing a solution which student copied, in whole or in part, from an unauthorized textbook.	Faculty	Student had dropped the course to avoid an "F" so student was re-enrolled in and received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2015	Student submitted homework for credit in Engineering which student copied, in whole or in part, from a solutions manual.	Honor Council (2nd Violation- Automatic Referral)	Received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2015	Student made an assignment for Chemistry available	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.

Honor Code Definitions II. C. (1)

	to another student who then submitted the work as his/her own.		
Fall 2015	Student copied the work of another student and submitted it as student's own for credit in Chemistry.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Fall 2015	Student submitted for credit in Chemistry a lab report containing portions copied from a student who took the course during a prior semester.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Fall 2015	Student made an assignment prepared for Chemistry during the spring 2015 semester available to another student who then submitted the work as his/her own.	Honor Council	NOT GUILTY
Fall 2015	Student took pictures of the work of another student showing already graded answers to a case study in Nutrition, changed student's own answers, and submitted the new version for credit. When questioned about the incident by the professor, student originally lied by denying that student had taken the pictures.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment.
Fall 2015	Student submitted an assignment for credit in Apparel Design and Merchandising, part of which student copied from the work of another student.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Fall 2015	For credit in Computer Science student submitted a project containing a high percentage of similarity to that submitted by another student, indicating either that the work was not entirely student's work or that student shared his work with the other student without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Fall 2015	For credit in Computer Science student submitted a project containing a high percentage of similarity to that submitted by other student(s), indicating that the work was not entirely student's work.	Faculty	Received an "F' for the course.
Summer 2015	None.		
Spring 2015	Student submitted a lab report for credit in Chemistry which was, in whole or in part, the work	Faculty	Received no credit for the lab report.

Honor Code Definitions II. C. (1)

	of another.		
Spring 2015	Student submitted two lab reports for credit in Chemistry which were, in whole or in part, the work of another.	Faculty	Received no credit for one lab report and partial credit for the other lab report.
Spring 2015	Student submitted homework for credit in Accounting which student copied, in whole or in part, from a solutions manual.	Faculty	Received a "0" for all homework submitted through January 27, 2015.
Spring 2015	Student submitted homework for credit in Accounting which student copied, in whole or in part, from a solutions manual.	Faculty	Received a "0" for all homework submitted through January 29, 2015.
Spring 2015	Student submitted homework for credit in Accounting which student copied, in whole or in part, from a solutions manual.	Faculty	Received a "0" for all homework submitted through February 4, 2015.
Spring 2015	Student submitted a lab assignment for credit in Teacher Education which was, in whole or in part, the work of a former student.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment.
Spring 2015	Student submitted a paper for credit in in Military Science, part or all of which student took from another student's work without giving that student credit and without identifying the portions used.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the paper.
Spring 2015	Student submitted a lab report for credit in Chemistry which was copied, in whole or in part, from the work of another.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2015	Student submitted a lab report for credit in Chemistry which was copied, in whole or in part, from the work of another.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2015	Student submitted a lab report for credit in Chemistry which was copied, in whole or in part, from the work of another.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2015	Student submitted as student's own for credit in Management work copied directly from a group document, which was prepared in violation of the instructions from the professor that each student was to submit an individual preparation template for the role play simulation.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.

Honor Code Definitions II. C. (1)

Spring 2015	Another student submitted, as that student's own, for credit in CHE 3238, accused student's work, which accused student had given to that student.	Honor Council	Pending
Spring 2015	Student submitted as student's own for credit in Chemistry work that had a high degree of similarity to another student's work.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2015	Student submitted a report for credit in Chemistry which was copied from the key used for grading.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the report and not allowed to remove the grade from the final grade calculation.
Spring 2015	Student submitted a report for credit in Chemistry which was copied from the key used for grading.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the report and not allowed to remove the grade from the final grade calculation.
Spring 2015	Student submitted a report for credit in Chemistry which was copied from the key used for grading.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the report and you will not be allowed to remove the grade from the final grade calculation.
Fall 2014	Student submitted homework for credit in Accounting which student copied from a solutions manual.	Faculty	Received a "0" for all homework submitted in the course through discovery of violation.
Fall 2014	Student submitted homework for credit in Accounting which student copied from a solutions manual.	Faculty	Received a "0" for all homework submitted in the course through discovery of violation.
Fall 2014	Student submitted homework for credit in Accounting which student copied from a solutions manual.	Faculty	Received a "0" for all homework submitted in the course through discovery of violation.
Fall 2014	Student submitted several homework assignments for credit in Accounting which student copied, in whole or in part, from a solutions manual.	Faculty	Because student withdrew from the course, the professor did not assign a grade sanction, but did report the violations to the Office of Academic Integrity.
Fall 2014	Student submitted homework for credit in Accounting which student copied, in whole or in part, from a solutions manual.	Faculty	Received a "0" for all homework submitted through discovery of violation.
Fall 2014	Student submitted homework for credit in Accounting which student copied, in whole or in part, from a solutions manual.	Faculty	Received a "0" for all homework submitted through discovery of violation.
Fall 2014	Student submitted homework for credit in	Faculty	Received a "0" for all homework submitted through

Honor Code Definitions II. C. (1)

	Accounting which student copied, in whole or in part, from a solutions manual.		discovery of violation.
Fall 2014	Student submitted a Java development project for credit in Management Information Systems which was, in whole or in part, the work of a student who submitted the work for credit for the course in a previous semester.	Honor Council (2nd Violation- Automatic Referral)	Received a "0" for the project and an "F" for the course. Immediate suspension for Spring and Summer 2015.
Fall 2014	Student submitted a homework solution for credit in Physics which student copied, in whole or in part, from the work of another student. In addition, student worked with two other students on a homework problem for the same course without the professor's permission.	Faculty	Received a "0" for both homework problems.
Fall 2014	Student submitted an essay for credit in English at least 70% of which was the same as that of another student, having either offered the work of another as student's own or having collaborated with the other student on the assignment without the permission of the professor.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the essay but was allowed to resubmit the essay on a different topic to replace the "0" with an "F".
Fall 2014	Student submitted for credit in Computer Science an assignment containing computer code that was almost identical to that submitted by another student. In addition, student tried to conceal the similarities by changing insignificant parts of the program.	Honor Council	NOT GUILTY
Fall 2014	Student submitted for credit in Computer Science an assignment containing computer code that was almost identical to that submitted by another student. In addition, student tried to conceal the similarities by changing insignificant parts of the program.	Honor Council	NOT GUILTY
Summer 2014	Student provided a paper student wrote to another student so that that student could submit the paper as that student's own for credit in Speech Communication.	Faculty	The Honor Code violation was reported to the Office of Academic Integrity.

Honor Code Definitions II. C. (1)

Summer 2014	Student submitted a paper as student's own for credit in Speech Communication, but the paper was actually written and given to student by another student.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2014	Over the course of several different projects submitted for credit in Computer Science, student submitted computer code which was, in whole or in part, the work of another student, having stolen the code from that student without his/her knowledge.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Spring 2014	Over the course of several different projects submitted for credit in Computer Science, student submitted computer code which was, in whole or in part, the work of another student, having stolen the code from that student without his/her knowledge.	Faculty	Received an "F" for the course.
Spring 2014	Student submitted for credit in Accounting a homework assignment copied from the work of another student who took the class during an earlier semester. In addition, the work was formatted identically to the solutions manual.	Faculty	Received a "0" on all homework submitted for the course through January 23, 2014.
Spring 2014	Student submitted homework for credit in Accounting which was copied from an answer written in student's textbook which was identical to the answer in the solutions manual.	Faculty	Received a "0" on all homework for the course submitted through February 10, 2014.
Spring 2014	Student submitted homework for credit in Accounting which student copied from the solutions manual.	Faculty	Received a "0" on all homework for the course submitted through February 10, 2014.
Spring 2014	Student submitted an assignment for credit in Finance portions of which were copied from the work of another student, resulting in an 88% similarity between the two documents.	Faculty	Received a "0" on the assignment.
Spring 2014	Student made work student had prepared for credit in Finance available to another student who then submitted the work as his/her own.	Honor Council	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2014	Student submitted for credit in Chemistry a	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.

Honor Code Definitions II. C. (1)

	worksheet containing the correct answers for a worksheet assigned for the course in a previous semester, not the current assignment, indicating		
	that the work was copied from the work of a student who took the class during that earlier semester.		
Spring 2014	Student submitted homework for credit in Accounting which student copied from a solutions manual found online.	Faculty	Received a "0" on all homework submitted through March 3, 2014.
Spring 2014	Student submitted a reflection paper for credit in in Religion containing one answer which student copied from another student's work.	Faculty	Required to rewrite the paper for a maximum grade of 50%.
Spring 2014	Student submitted answers for an assignment in Chemistry which student obtained from another student's work.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2014	Student submitted a homework assignment for credit in Engineering that was almost identical to that of another student.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2014	Student submitted an electronic homework assignment for credit in Engineering that contained material identical to that of another student.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2014	Student submitted an electronic homework assignment for credit in Engineering that contained material identical to that of another student.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the assignment.
Spring 2014	Student submitted a homework assignment for credit in in Educational Psychology portions of which student copied from other students' work.	Honor Council	Not Guilty
Spring 2014	Student submitted a report for credit in Chemistry, parts of which showed evidence of being copied from a rubric used for grading.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the report.
Spring 2014	Student submitted a report for credit in Chemistry, containing material copied from a rubric used for grading.	Honor Council (2nd Violation- Automatic Referral)	Received a permanent "F" for the course with the option of retaking the course for credit.
Spring 2014	Student submitted a report for credit in Chemistry which is a word for word copy of a rubric used for	Faculty	Received a "0" for the report.

Honor Code Definitions II. C. (1)

	grading.		
Spring 2014	Student submitted a report for credit in Chemistry	Faculty	Received a "0" for the report.
	which is a word for word copy of a rubric used for		
	grading.		
Spring 2014	Student submitted a report for credit in Chemistry	Faculty	Received a "0" for the report.
	which was copied word for word from a rubric used		
	for grading.		
Spring 2014	Student submitted a report for credit in Chemistry	Honor Council	Pending
	which was copied word for word from a rubric used		
	for grading.		
Spring 2014	Student submitted a report for credit in Chemistry,	Faculty	Received a "0" for the report.
	parts of which were copied word for word from a		
	rubric used for grading.		
Spring 2014	Student submitted a report for credit in Chemistry,	Honor Council	NOT GUILTY
	most of which shows evidence of being copied from		
	a rubric used for grading.		
Spring 2014	Student submitted a report for credit in Chemistry,	Faculty	Received a "0" for the report.
	most of which shows evidence of being copied from		
	a rubric used for grading.		
Spring 2014	Student submitted a report for credit in Chemistry,	Faculty	Received a "0" for the report.
	most of which shows evidence of being copied from		
	a rubric used for grading.		
Spring 2014	Student submitted a report for credit in Chemistry	Faculty	Received a "0" for the report.
	which was copied word for word from a rubric used		
	for grading.		
Spring 2014	Student submitted a report for credit in Chemistry	Faculty	Received a "0" for the report.
	which was copied word for word from a rubric used		
	for grading.		
Spring 2014	Student submitted a report for credit in Chemistry,	Honor Council	Pending
	most of which shows evidence of being copied from		
	a rubric used for grading.		
Spring 2014	Student submitted a report for credit in Chemistry	Faculty	Received a "0" for the report.
	which was copied word for word from a rubric used		
	for grading.		
Spring 2014	Student submitted a report for credit in Chemistry,	Faculty	Received a "0" for the report.

Honor Code Definitions II. C. (1)

	parts of which were copied word for word from a rubric used for grading.		
Spring 2014	Student submitted a report for credit in Chemistry which was a word for word copy of a rubric used for grading.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the report.
Spring 2014	Student submitted a report for credit in Chemistry which was a word for word copy of a rubric used for grading.	Honor Council	Received a "0" for the report.
Spring 2014	Student submitted a report for credit in Chemistry which was a word for word copy of a rubric used for grading.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the report.
Spring 2014	Student submitted a report for credit in Chemistry, parts of which were copied word for word from a rubric used for grading.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the report.
Spring 2014	Student submitted a report for credit in Chemistry, parts of which showed evidence of being copied from a rubric used for grading.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the report.
Spring 2014	Student submitted a report for credit in Chemistry, parts of which were copied from a rubric used for grading.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the report.
Spring 2014	Student submitted a report for credit in Chemistry, parts of which were copied word for word from a rubric used for grading.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the report.
Spring 2014	Student submitted a report for credit in Chemistry, parts of which showed evidence of being copied from a rubric used for grading.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the report.
Spring 2014	Student submitted a report for credit in Chemistry, parts of which showed evidence of being copied from a rubric used for grading.	Faculty	Received a "0" for the report.
Spring 2014	Student submitted a report for credit in Chemistry, parts of which showed evidence of being copied from a rubric used for grading.		Charges dropped after further investigation.
Spring 2014	Student submitted a report for credit in Chemistry which was a word for word copy of a rubric used for grading.	Honor Council	Received a "0" for the report.

Honor Code Definitions II. C. (1)